Non-cardiac chest pain (NCCP) is a condition that is increasingly being recognized as a significant driver of increased patient morbidity as well as health care costs via frequent utilization of health care services. While an exact definition of NCCP has not been outlined, one prevalent description is recurrent chest pain that is indistinguishable from ischemic heart pain after a reasonable workup has excluded a cardiac cause. NCCP is often attributed to esophageal conditions such as gastroesophageal reflux disease, non-erosive reflux disease, functional chest pain, and esophageal motility disorders.

Uncertainty still exists surrounding its epidemiology and the totality of its inciting factors, but diminished patient quality of life is a characteristic of NCCP that has consistently been reported.

The paper of Ortiz-Garrido et al. assesses quality of life in Mexican patients with NCCP and its relation to clinical characteristics and etiology. The study, conducted at a single health care center in Mexico, matched 33 patients with NCCP of presumed esophageal origin to 51 healthy controls with no history of chest pain or esophageal symptoms. The case patients all underwent esophageal endoscopy, 24-hour esophageal pH monitoring, and esophageal manometry to determine the etiology of their NCCP and were all surveyed to determine the characteristics of their symptoms. Forty-eight percent of the case patients were diagnosed with gastroesophageal reflux disease, 34% with achalasia, and 18% with functional chest pain, whereas the 3 most predominant symptoms were regurgitation (81%), dysphagia (72%), and heartburn (66%). All patients, both case and control, filled out the SF-36 Quality of Life Questionnaire. Subjects with NCCP demonstrated a significant decrease in quality of life compared with the controls. However, amongst patients with NCCP, no significant difference in quality of life was noted regarding its etiology (gastroesophageal reflux disease, achalasia, functional chest pain) or predominant symptomatology.

Diminished quality of life is a recognized sequel of NCCP but it is increasingly being considered, along with psychiatric disease, as a main driver of it as well. Several studies have recognized the correlation of both psychiatric disease and associated quality of life indicators (stress, anxiety, worry, perceived lack of control) with NCCP as well as their potential roles as inciting and/or aggravating factors. These hypotheses have been further supported by placebo controlled trials (albeit few and small) that have shown improvement in NCCP and quality of life with usage of both antidepressants as well as non-pharmacologic psychiatric interventions (such as cognitive behavioral therapy). Thus, a self-perpetuating cycle of cause and effect between psychiatric disease/diminished quality of life and NCCP may exist, making NCCP a difficult entity to effectively treat. This study confirmed the deleterious effects that NCCP can have on the quality of life of those that suffer from it. More important, though, was its finding that diminished quality of life was not related to presumed etiology of NCCP or predominant symptomatology, but rather was consistent across all case patients. Consistent with current work in the field, this finding suggests a central role for psychiatric disease and associated quality of life indicators in the morbidity and symptomatology of NCCP that goes beyond any diagnosed organic causes.

Additionally, in showing that these effects may be independent of the etiology and principle symptomatology of a
given patient’s NCCP, it adds to the wave of current literature suggesting a causative role for diminished quality of life in the perpetuity of NCCP symptomatology and morbidity. Further studies with larger sample sizes and correlation with both pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic treatment specifically targeted at quality of life indicators and psychiatric disease will further help establish this relationship.
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