CLINICAL IMAGE IN GASTROENTEROLOGY

Eagle syndrome as an uncommon cause of dysphagia

Síndrome de Eagle como causa infrecuente de disfagia


Otorhinolaryngology Service, Hospital Universitario Nuestra Señora de Candelaria, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

A 57-year-old man with an unremarkable past history sought an otorhinolaryngology consultation for symptoms of oropharyngeal dysphagia and an ever-increasing sensation of a foreign body. Physical examination and fiberoptic nasopharyngoscopy were normal, and so an extension study was carried out. The computerized tomography scan of the neck showed a lengthening of both styloid apophyses, 5 cm on the left side and 7 cm on the right (Fig. 1). The patient

Figure 1   CT image of elongation of both styloid apophyses.
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Eagle syndrome, first described in 1937, is an entity with characteristic symptomatology caused by the elongation of the styloid apophyses. There is a 3.3% prevalence of elongations of the styloid apophyses, and 4% of those cases may present with symptoms.\textsuperscript{1,2} In Eagle syndrome, said elongations can be accompanied with pharyngeal pain, oropharyngeal dysphagia, the sensation of a foreign body, orofacial pain, and ATM dysfunction and/or carotidynia.\textsuperscript{1,2} Conventional radiologic studies can reveal the elongation of the styloid apophyses, but computed tomography provides a better description of the anatomic structures and improved preoperative planning.\textsuperscript{1,3} Treatment of this entity depends on the patient’s symptoms, and the treatment of choice is resection of the styloid apophyses.\textsuperscript{1}
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