Perianal basal cell carcinoma: An infrequent location

Carcinoma basocelular perianal: una localización infrecuente

Basal cell carcinoma (BCC) is the most frequent malignant neoplasia of the skin and makes up 75% of the non-melanocytic tumors. It more commonly presents in the male sex (80% of the patients) and the mean presentation age varies from 65-75 years. BCC frequently appears in areas exposed to ultraviolet radiation, mainly the head and neck. Its appearance at non-sun-exposed areas is atypical, and among those sites, location at the perianal region is extremely rare (0.1%), representing 0.2% of perianal tumors. Immunodeficiencies, infections, burns, chronic irritations, or previous radiation have been other etiopathogenic factors related to the appearance of this neoplasia. Likewise, certain hereditary syndromes, such as basal cell nevi syndrome or xeroderma pigmentosum, have also been implicated in its development.1,3

We present herein an unusual case of BCC located at the perianal level, with no predisposing factors.

A 78-year-old woman with an unremarkable past medical history sought medical attention for a perianal lesion of 2-year progressive growth, associated with pruritus and occasional bleeding. Physical examination revealed a well-defined, ulcerated, erythematous neoformation, 3 cm in diameter, at the left anal margin (fig. 1A). Nodular BCC diagnosis was made from the biopsy (fig. 1B). Rectosigmoidoscopy was performed, ruling out anal canal involvement. A pelvic magnetic resonance (MR) study identified no evidence of infiltration into the sphincteric musculature. The lesion was locally excised and repaired through primary cutaneous closure (figs. C and D). The histopathologic study reported microscopic infiltration of the internal margin and reinsertion was carried out to widen the resection. Biopsy after reoperation confirmed tumor-free surgical margins. The patient had clinical follow-up and there are currently no signs of local recurrence at postoperative month 6.

BCC presents as a cutaneous lesion with erythematous papules, nodules, and ulcerations.5 Gibson and Ahmed6 described an ulcerous presentation of those tumors in up to 29.4% of patients. They also classified BCC into the following subtypes: nodular (66%), superficial (18%), infiltrative (8%), micronodular (4%), basosquamous (2%), and as fibroepithelioma of Pinkus (2%). A lesion with those characteristics should be biopsied to confirm the diagnosis and rule out other perianal diseases, such as Crohn’s disease, squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, melanoma, neuroendocrine tumors, gastrointestinal stromal tumors, verrucous carcinoma, Kaposi sarcoma, or Paget disease.4,5 The differential diagnosis should include entities of infectious origin, such as sexually transmitted diseases, or less common dermatoses, including candidiasis, tuberculosis granuloma, fungal infections, and amoebiasis.6 It is particularly important to differentiate BCC from cloacogenic carcinoma, which is an aggressive, invasive tumor. Rectosigmoidoscopy and magnetic resonance imaging are the recommended complementary studies to rule out infiltration into the anal canal or sphincteric musculature invasion.

The current therapeutic options for BCC are exeresis, Mohs micrographic surgery, electrodessication and

---

curettage, cryosurgery, radiation, or photodynamic therapy with aminolevulinic acid. Nonsurgical treatment includes the use of immune response modifiers, such as imiquimod. Radiotherapy is the treatment of choice for lesions ≥ T2, elderly patients, or patients with relevant comorbidities. Standard treatment is local wide resection, but abdominoperineal resection may be necessary as rescue therapy or first-line treatment in patients with invasion proximal to the dentate line or the anal sphincter. Mohs micrographic surgery is generally used in patients with large lesions, recurrent tumors, or with lesions in esthetically exposed areas, such as the face. However, surgical excision is generally preferred over Mohs micrographic surgery, given that the latter requires specialized training and has a longer operating time and higher costs. The recurrence rate after standard exeresis with wide oncologic margins varies from 0-29%. The lapse of time from tumor appearance, tumor size above 2 cm, or lesions with an infiltrative, micronodular, or morpheaform microscopic appearance are among the factors with a higher risk for recurrence. Vismodegib has been described as an efficacious therapeutic option in patients with locally advanced BCC or those with metastasis. Recurrences tend to be local and are treated with a new surgical resection or radiotherapy. BCC has a good prognosis, given that it is a slow-growing tumor with a low metastatic capacity. Five-year survival percentages of 100% have been reported in 2 large case series. The particularity of our case was the location of the pathology in a nonphotoexposed area and the absence of predisposing factors, emphasizing the fact that BCC should be included in the differential diagnosis when there is a slow-progressing perianal lesion.
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Primary mesothelial cyst of the spleen as an uncommon cause of dyspepsia: A case report

Quiste mesotelial primario esplénico como causa infrecuente de dispepsia: a propósito de un caso

Cysts of the spleen are an unusual pathology in daily clinical and surgical practice, with fewer than 1,000 cases reported in the literature. The majority of patients are asymptomatic, and diagnosis is usually based on imaging techniques. Surgical indication and treatment are a challenge for surgeons. We present herein the case of a patient with a primary cyst of the spleen as an infrequent cause of dyspepsia.

A 21-year-old woman with an unremarkable past history presented with clinical symptoms of early satiety, postprandial fullness, left epigastrium-hypochondrium pain upon eating, and a 3-kg weight loss. Physical examination revealed pain upon deep palpation of the left hemiabdomen. Abdominal ultrasound identified a 7-cm splenic lesion that was isoechic, with little acoustic enhancement, and well-defined contours. Hemogram and biochemical tests were unaltered, hemagglutination-hydatidosis was negative, and the Ca 19.9 and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) markers were normal. The evaluation was completed through CT that showed an oval-shaped lesion with well-defined contours in the spleen. Its diameters measured 80 × 72 × 68 mm and there were no internal enhancements or solid poles. The stomach was displaced, but there were no signs suggestive of malignancy. Primary cyst of the spleen was suspected, and laparoscopic fenestration was performed with no complications. The intraoperative cytology results were acellular serous diathesis and the histology study reported simple, flat epithelial lining that was positive for pankeratin (AE1-AE3) and calretinin and negative for CEA and Ca 19.9 (fig. 1A-D).

The symptoms of the patient improved and she gained 6 kg that she has maintained throughout her progression. The ultrasound study at 6 months after the intervention and the CT scan at one year showed a small cystic remnant and postoperative changes that did not affect the neighboring structures. The size of the remnant did not increase between the time the 2 imaging studies were carried out (fig. 2 A and B).

Cysts of the spleen are rare. The Mediterranean countries are endemic zones where the *Echinococcus granulosus* parasites predominate. Noninfectious cysts include the primary cysts, which are characterized by the epithelial lining, and the secondary cysts (pseudocysts), which are more frequent and generally have a posttraumatic origin.

Primary cyst incidence is only 0.07% and they are predominant in young women. They are usually incidental findings but can cause clinical symptoms due to adjacent organ compression, as occurred in our patient through gastric compression.

The etiopathogenesis of this disease is unknown. There are several types of epithelial lining: positivity for CEA and Ca 19.9 in epithelial cysts and positivity for pankeratin (AE1-AE3) and calretinin in mesothelial cysts stand out.

The dilemma is when and how to treat them. Treatment is accepted for symptomatic cysts and those > 5 cm, due to the risk for complications, such as rupture, bleeding, and infection.

There are high rates of recurrence and complications with percutaneous treatment (aspiration and treatment with alcohol), and it is reserved for inoperable patients. Surgical techniques include total or partial splenectomy, decapsulation (complete membrane extirpation) and fenestration (resection that puts the cavity of the cyst in contact with the peritoneum) and all can be performed laparoscopically. The current trend is toward spleen-sparing techniques to prevent immunosuppression and thrombocytosis.