Gastric cancer risk estimate in patients with chronic gastritis associated with Helicobacter pylori infection in a clinical setting
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Abstract

Background/Aim: Severity of chronic gastritis associated with Helicobacter pylori infection (CGAHpI) could play a role in evaluating the potential risk to develop gastric cancer. Our aim was to estimate the risk for gastric cancer in a clinical setting, according to histopathologic criteria, by applying the gastric cancer risk index (GCRI).

Methods: Histopathologic study of the gastric biopsies (corpus-antrum) from consecutive adult patients that underwent gastroesophageal duodenoscopy was carried out, and the GCRI was applied in patients presenting with CGAHpI.

Results: One hundred eleven patients (77% female) with a mean age of 38.6±13.1 years were included. Active Helicobacter pylori infection (aHpi) was diagnosed in 77 cases (69.40%). In 45% of the cases with aHpi, pangastritis (23%) or corpus-predominant gastritis (22%) was diagnosed. Nine cases were diagnosed with intestinal metaplasia (8%), 7 of which (77.70%) were in the aHpi group. Twenty one percent of the patients with aHpi had a GCRI of 2 (18.10%) or 3 (2.50%) points (high risk index), while 79.10% accumulated a GCRI of 0 or 1 points (low risk index). Of the patients with no aHpi, none of them had 3 points (p=0.001). Of the 18 patients that accumulated 2 or 3 points, 6 (33.30%) presented with intestinal metaplasia (all with pangastritis and corpus-predominant gastritis), of which 4 cases (66.60%) had aHpi.

Conclusions: The estimated gastric cancer risk in patients with CGAHpI in the clinical setting studied was relatively low and 5% of the patients had a histopathologic phenotype associated with an elevated risk for developing gastric cancer.

© 2012 Asociación Mexicana de Gastroenterología. Published by Masson Doyma México S.A. All rights reserved.
Introduction

*Helicobacter pylori* (H. pylori) is the principal etiologic agent associated with gastritis, peptic ulcer disease, gastric cancer, and primary gastric lymphoma. It is estimated that *H. pylori* infection affects approximately 50% of the world population,\(^1\) resulting in elevated morbidity and mortality figures. In 1994, the World Health Organization and the International Agency for Cancer Research classified this microorganism as a class I human carcinogen.\(^2\) *H. pylori* infection is usually acquired during infancy and persists as chronic gastritis if the bacterium is not eradicated.\(^3\) According to the carcinogenesis model described by Correa for intestinal-type gastric adenocarcinoma,\(^4\) this disease begins with a chronic gastritis process, followed by glandular atrophy, intestinal metaplasia, dysplasia, and finally adenocarcinoma. However, this model cannot be routinely applied to all cases.\(^5\) Moreover, the proposed progression differs among countries and even among different regions in the same country.\(^5,6\)

The pathologic study of endoscopic gastric biopsies is an excellent recourse for the diagnosis of gastritis and the visualization of *H. pylori*.\(^7,8\) Its findings are considered essential in patient clinical management. Recently, the combination of certain histopathologic characteristics has emerged as a promising tool for estimating the risk for developing gastric cancer\(^9-13\) in the clinical setting. It appears that the degree of severity of gastritis associated with *H. pylori* infection (GAHpI) in the antrum, as well as in the gastric corpus, may be a good marker for such risk in patients with chronic gastritis associated with *H. pylori* infection (CGAHpI).\(^9-13\)

Aim

To estimate the risk for gastric cancer in a clinical setting according to histopathologic criteria in patients with CGAHpI, applying the gastric cancer risk index (GCRI) in patients with *H. pylori* infection, described by Meining et al.\(^10\)

Population and sample

The population was made up of patients seen at the Gastroenterology Service of the *Hospital Universitario de Maracaibo*, in the State of Zulia, Venezuela, within the time frame of June 2007 to December 2009, that had undergone upper gastrointestinal endoscopy (esophagogastroduodenoscopy) for whatever reason. Consecutive patients were included regardless of sex, ethnic group, and age, and all were willing participants that signed statements of informed consent. Patients were excluded if they had severe systemic disease, active digestive...
hemorrhage or blood in the gastric cavity, or if they had been treated with anti-\textit{H. pylori} antibiotics within the last 3 months or with \( \text{H}_2 \) and/or proton pump inhibitors within the last 15 days.

All the patients had a complete and recorded past medical history and underwent esophagogastroduodenoscopy with biopsies of the mucosa of the gastric corpus and antrum for histopathologic study, as well as a microbiologic culture for \textit{H. pylori}, and a urease test.

### Endoscopy of the upper gastrointestinal tract

This study was done on patients that, after a minimum of 10 hours of absolute fasting, received topical anesthesia of the pharyngeal and hypopharyngeal region and intravenous sedation with midazolam (3 to 5 mg intravenously). With continuous vital sign monitoring, a flexible endoscope was introduced through the mouth following a previously described technique. Upon reaching the gastric cavity, the fundus, corpus, and antrum were systematically examined. Endoscopic biopsy forceps were used for taking 3 samples of the antrum and one of the corpus (of approximately 3 mm in diameter, each one), giving preference to the zones of apparent lesion and taking care that the samples for the microbiologic culture and the rapid urease test were not impregnated with blood. The samples were placed into separate, previously labeled vials that contained the proper medium required for each test.

### Urease test

The first biopsy from the gastric antrum was placed in an Eppendorf tube containing 1.5 ml of urea agar medium, prepared according to a previously described and standardized procedure for the population studied. The sample was incubated at 35º C for a maximum of 2 h, periodically verifying whether there were color changes in the agar. The test result was considered positive when, during the incubation, the phenol red indicator contained in the medium changed from light orange to pink or bright fuchsia. When there was no color change or changes occurred after the first 2 hours, the test result was considered negative.

### Culture for \textit{Helicobacter pylori}

The culture for this microorganism was carried out using the endoscopic biopsy of the gastric antrum mucosa, following a previously described procedure. In short, the biopsy was removed from the endoscopic grasper using a sterile needle and placed in an Eppendorf tube containing 0.5 ml of sterile saline solution, as the transport medium. It was processed no longer than 4 h after collection. First, the tissue was cut into thin pieces on a sterile watch glass, using a scalpel blade. This preparation was seeded in 2 enriched culture mediums, one selective and one non-selective, for primary isolation, using the Brucella Agar base supplemented with 5% sheep blood. Three antimicrobial agents were added to the selective medium: vancomycin, trimethoprim, and amphotericin B. Both mediums were incubated in a humid microaerophilic environment (5% of \( \text{O}_2 \), 10% of \( \text{CO}_2 \), and 85% of \( \text{N}_2 \)), using anaerobic jars and Anaerocult® C gas-generating envelopes (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) at a temperature of 35°C. The cultures were inspected on days 3, 5, and 7. Isolate identification was based on the colony morphology and cellular characteristics of \textit{H. pylori}, as well as through positive oxidase, catalase, and urease tests.

### Histopathologic study

A biopsy of the gastric corpus and another of the antrum were fixed in a 10% formalin solution. The fragments of the gastric mucosa were then processed following the customary steps of dehydration and paraffin embedding, after which a series of slices were cut at a maximum of 5 \( \mu \text{m} \), fixed on slides, and stained with hematoxylin-eosin.

### Histopathologic evaluation

In all of the cases, the preparations were observed under a light microscope at \( \times 10, \times 40, \) and \( \times 100 \) magnifications. When the presence of \textit{H. pylori} was found, it was categorized as scant, moderate, or abundant. At the time of the histopathologic evaluation the pathologist had no knowledge of the clinical characteristics or the results of the urease test and microbiologic culture corresponding to each case.

All the biopsies were examined semi-quantitatively to establish the grade of mononuclear inflammation (plasmatic cells, lymphocytes, and histiocytes) and active inflammation (polymorphonuclear neutrophils) and the presence or absence of intestinal metaplasia according to the Sydney System.

### Criteria for diagnosing active \textit{Helicobacter pylori} infection

Active \textit{H. pylori} infection (aHpi) was diagnosed when one of the 3 tests carried out were positive. The absence of \textit{H. pylori} in the 3 studies was considered to be a case with no active infection.

### Gastritis classification

Gastritis was classified into 4 categories, according to the following histopathologic characteristics:

1. **No Gastritis**: Histologically normal antrum and corpus mucosa
2. **Antrum-predominant gastritis**: A greater degree of inflammation in the antrum, compared with the corpus
3. **Pangastritis**: Similar degree of inflammation in the antrum and corpus
4. **Corpus-predominant gastritis**: A greater degree of inflammation in the corpus, compared with the antrum
Gastric Cancer Risk Index

The GCRI proposed by Meining et al.\textsuperscript{10} was applied in patients with CGA\textsuperscript{Hp}I, which establishes the following criteria:

1. Mononuclear infiltration with plasmatic cells/lymphocytes is more pronounced in, or at least similar to, the mucosa of the corpus than the mucosa of the antrum: 1 point
2. Polymorphonuclear neutrophil invasion is more pronounced in, or at least similar to, the mucosa of the corpus than the mucosa of the antrum: 1 point
3. Intestinal metaplasia is present in the mucosa of the antrum or corpus: 1 point

The indexes of 0 and 1 are associated with a low positive predictive value for gastric cancer, whereas indices of 2 and 3 are associated with a high positive predictive value.\textsuperscript{10}

Statistical analysis

The statistical significance of the qualitative differences was calculated using the chi-square test and the non-paired Student’s t test. The Spearman correlation test was applied to establish the relation between gastritis phenotypes and the GCRI. Statistical significance was considered when \( p < 0.05 \).

Results

A total of 111 patients were studied; 85 of them were women (76.6%) and 26 were men (23.4%), with ages from 16 to 76 years (mean \( \pm \) standard deviation: 38.6 \( \pm \) 13.1 years), with no significant variations in the mean age between the sexes. Active \( H. \) pylori infection (aHpi) was diagnosed in 77 cases (69.4%); in the 34 remaining cases (30.6%), the 3 diagnostic tests were negative (\( H. \) pylori negative). Diagnosis of aHpi was made in 68.2% of the female patients and 63.3% of the male patients, with no significant differences between them.

Gastritis classification

Table 1 shows the histopathologic phenotype of the gastritis diagnosed in the patients with and without aHpi. In 45% of the cases with aHpi, \textit{pangastritis} (23%) or \textit{corpus-predominant gastritis} (22%) was diagnosed, whereas 32% of the cases without aHpi developed \textit{pangastritis} (15%) or \textit{corpus-predominant gastritis} (17%). Figure 1 shows the histopathologic aspects of a case of \textit{antrum-predominant gastritis}, of \textit{pangastritis}, and of \textit{corpus-predominant gastritis}. Figure 2 shows the presence of \( H. \) pylori, intestinal metaplasia, and polymorphonuclear neutrophil infiltration.

Cases with intestinal metaplasia

Nine cases were diagnosed with intestinal metaplasia (8%), 7 of which (77.7%) were in the aHpi group. Of the latter, 3 corresponded to intestinal metaplasia in the antrum mucosa and 4 in the corpus mucosa. There was significant statistical difference between the patients with and without aHpi (\( p = 0.04; \chi^2: 8.196 \)). None of the patients with intestinal metaplasia presented with duodenal or gastric ulcer and there was no relation between the presence of intestinal metaplasia and the demographic or clinical aspects of the patients.

Gastric Cancer Rate Index

Table 2 presents the data related to the GCRI in the patients with and without aHpi. A total of 20.6% of the patients with aHpi had a GCRI of 2 (18.1%) or 3 (2.5%) points (high risk index according to Meining et al.\textsuperscript{10}), whereas 79.1% accumulated a GCRI of 0 or 1 points (low risk index according Meining et al.)

### Table 1 Histopathologic phenotype of gastritis associated with \textit{Helicobacter pylori} infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>aHpi+ (n=77)</th>
<th>aHpi− (n=34)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-PG</td>
<td>42 (55)</td>
<td>23 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-PG</td>
<td>17 (22)</td>
<td>6 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pangastatis</td>
<td>18 (23)</td>
<td>5 (15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A-PG: antrum-predominant gastritis; aHpi+: with \textit{Helicobacter pylori} infection; aHpi−: without \textit{Helicobacter pylori} infection; C-PG: corpus-predominant gastritis.

### Table 2 Gastritis associated with \textit{Helicobacter pylori} infection and gastric cancer rate index.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>aHpi+ (n=77)</th>
<th>aHpi− (n=34)</th>
<th>PV</th>
<th>Gastritis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>31 (40.2)</td>
<td>23 (67.6)</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30 (38.9)</td>
<td>9 (26.4)</td>
<td>0.464</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14 (18.1)</td>
<td>2 (5.8)</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td>High risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aHpi+: with \textit{Helicobacter pylori} infection; aHpi−: without \textit{Helicobacter pylori} infection; GCRI: gastric cancer rate index; PV: predictive value for developing gastric cancer according to Meining et al.

Source: Meining et al.\textsuperscript{10}
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In the group of patients with aHpi (77 patients), all that developed *pangastritis* and *corpus-predominant gastritis* (n=35) accumulated 1 or more points, 15 of them reached 2 or 3 points (43%), and 4 presented with intestinal metaplasia (11.4%). Of the patients that presented with *antrum-predominant gastritis*, 1 had 2 points (2.3%). Four cases of *antrum-predominant gastritis* had gastric ulcer (9.5%), whereas 2 patients (6%) with *pangastritis* or with *corpus-predominant gastritis* had gastric ulcer.

In the group of patients with aHpi (77 patients), all that developed *pangastritis* and *corpus-predominant gastritis* (n=35) accumulated 1 or more points, 15 of them reached 2 or 3 points (43%), and 4 presented with intestinal metaplasia (11.4%). Of the patients that presented with *antrum-predominant gastritis*, 1 had 2 points (2.3%). Four cases of *antrum-predominant gastritis* had gastric ulcer (9.5%), whereas 2 patients (6%) with *pangastritis* or with *corpus-predominant gastritis* had gastric ulcer.

**Table 3** shows the relation between the topography of GAHpI and the GCRI, the presence of intestinal metaplasia, and the presence of gastric ulcer. Of the 18 patients that accumulated 2 or 3 points, 6 (33.3%) presented with intestinal metaplasia (all with *pangastritis* and *corpus-predominant gastritis*), of which 4 cases (66.6%) belonged to the aHpi group.

**Figure 1**  A) Antrum-predominant gastritis (×40/×40). B) Pangastritis (×40/×40). C) Corpus-predominant gastritis (×40/×100). Antrum mucosa: the microphotographs on the left. Corpus mucosa: the microphotographs on the right. Stain: Hematoxylin-eosin.
Table 3  
Topography of the gastritis associated with *Helicobacter pylori* infection and the Gastric Cancer Rate Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topography of the gastritis</th>
<th>Hp+</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Hp-</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GCRI</td>
<td>Met</td>
<td>GU</td>
<td>GCRI</td>
<td>Met</td>
<td>GU</td>
<td>GCRI</td>
<td>Met</td>
<td>GU</td>
<td>GCRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-PG</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pangastritis</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-PG</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0 and 1: low risk; 2 and 3: high risk.
A-PG: antrum-predominant gastritis; C-PG: corpus-predominant gastritis; GCRI: gastric cancer rate index; GU: gastric ulcer; Hp+: with *Helicobacter pylori* infection; Hp-: without *Helicobacter pylori* infection; Met: intestinal metaplasia.

Figure 2  
A) Left: chronic antrum gastritis with the presence of *Helicobacter pylori* in a glandular lumen indicated by a square (left). Stain: hematoxylin-eosin. (×100). Right: greater magnification of the area of the square showing some *Helicobacter pylori* bacilli (arrows) (immersion). B) Intestinal metaplasia. Presence of goblet cells (arrows) (×40/×100; left and right, respectively). C) Presence of polymorphonuclear inflammatory neutrophils infiltrating the lamina propria, the epithelial covering, and the glandular lumen (arrows and circles) (×40/×400/immersion; from left to right, respectively).
The Spearman correlation between the gastritis phenotype and the GCRI produced a value of 0.715 (a high correlation, with a statistical significance of \( p = 0.01 \)).

**Discussion**

There was a high frequency of CGAHPi, affecting a little over two thirds of the study population (69.4%), of which slightly less than half (45%) presented with pangastritis (23%) and/or chronic corpus-predominant gastritis (22%). In Japan, Uemura et al.\(^{12}\) reported similar results in 1,246 patients with CGAHPi; 27% of them with pangastritis and 17% with chronic corpus-predominant gastritis. They reported a relative risk for developing gastric cancer of 15.6 for the patients with pangastritis and 34.5 for those with chronic corpus-predominant gastritis.

Various authors\(^{5,11,12}\) have observed that patients with CGAHPi presenting with pangastritis, chronic corpus-predominant gastritis, severe atrophy, and/or intestinal metaplasia are at high risk for developing intestinal-type gastric carcinoma. In our study, of the 35 patients with CGAHPi that presented with the phenotype of pangastritis and/or corpus-predominant gastritis, 15 (43%) had 2 or 3 points on the GCRI, of whom 4 (11.4%) presented with intestinal metaplasia; in other words, metaplastic-atrophic pangastritis and/or metaplastic-atrophic corpus-predominant gastritis.

Thus, it can be derived that there is a group of patients with CGAHPi that develops pangastritis or corpus-predominant gastritis with a high GCRI and no intestinal metaplasia and another group with a high GCRI that has intestinal metaplasia. In our study, 19% of the patients (15/77) corresponded to this first group, and 5% (4/77) to the second. On the other hand, in the group of patients with no \( H. pylori \) infection, only 5.8% (2/34) presented with pangastritis or corpus-predominant gastritis with a high GCRI without intestinal metaplasia and 3% (1/34) with metaplastic-atrophic pangastritis.

Therefore, the data analysis indicated that in the group of patients with the histopathologic phenotype associated with the greatest risk for developing gastric cancer, this risk decreased progressively when the respective combinations of the GCRI score and the presence of intestinal metaplasia were considered.

In this study only 2 patients with CGAHPi (2.5%) obtained a score of 3 on the GCRI and 7 patients (9%) had intestinal metaplasia. It is striking that the GCRI score of 3 and the presence of intestinal metaplasia; in other words, metaplastic-atrophic corpus-predominant gastritis.

Infection with these types of strains is linked to the development of precancerous lesions and cancer.\(^{13},14\) In a study with Colombian patients, it was reported that strains of \( H. pylori \) expressing high levels of CagA were associated with more advanced precancerous lesions.\(^{25}\)
From the geographic perspective, the study patients are inhabitants of a South American city situated at sea level (Maracaibo, Zulia State, Venezuela, see map, Fig. 3). In Colombia and Costa Rica, much lower gastric cancer rates have been reported in populations that live at sea level, when compared with populations living in the mountains. These differences could perhaps be due to the differences in dietary habits and to the exposure to or infestation of helminths. Reports state that populations located at sea level consume greater quantities of fish, vegetables, and fresh fruits and that they have higher rates of intestinal helminthic parasitosis. This latter circumstance produces a predominantly Th2-type immune response that is connected to preventing the development of atrophic gastritis and a lower anti-secretory effect of certain proinflammatory cytokines.

Finally, follow-up should be carried out on the progression of the endoscopic findings, H. pylori infection, and the anatomy and pathology of gastritis, at least in the patients with the histopathologic phenotype associated with a higher risk for developing gastric cancer (pangastritis and corpus-predominant gastritis with or without intestinal metaplasia) and 2 or more points in the GCRI.

Conclusions

It appears to be advantageous to combine the histopathologic phenotype of CGAHpI, the GCRI, and certain non-histopathologic aspects such as age, aHpi, the presence of duodenal ulcer, and geographic location for obtaining a more precise individual estimate of the risk for gastric cancer in patients with CGAHpI. The combination of these different aspects could be used to design a particular clinicopathologic gastric cancer risk index that would be suitable for each country or geographic location.
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