sis and poor general condition\textsuperscript{a}, such as the patient in our report.
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**Pancreatocscopy-guided electrohydraulic lithotripsy for the management of main pancreatic duct obstruction due to a stone**

**Litotripia electrohidráulica guiada por pancreatoscopia para el manejo de obstrucción del conducto pancreático principal por lito**

Chronic pancreatitis is a progressive fibroinflammatory disease that, in addition to causing exocrine pancreatic insufficiency and endocrine alterations, is painful in the majority of cases.

Current symptomatic chronic pancreatitis management is scaled. It begins with lifestyle changes and analgesics and can end in the need for surgery. Endoscopic treat-
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creatic plastic stent was placed. As a second intervention, at a different time, ERCP was performed, together with a single operator pancreatoscopy (SpyGlass™ DS System). To facilitate the insertion of the device, sphincteroplasty with hydraulic balloon dilation, 8 mm in diameter and 3 cm in length, was carried out, enabling its advancement into the main pancreatic duct. Once the probe (Autolith™ Touch Biliary EHL System) was 1 mm from the stone, electrohydraulic lithotripsy was performed (at a 50-watt energy configuration and 10/sec frequency), until the stone was fragmented into very small pieces that were then removed from the pancreatic duct with a 9 mm/12 mm extraction balloon (Fig. 2, complementary video: shortened ERCP and pancreatoscopy procedure). A prophylactic 5 Fr × 7 cm pancreatic plastic stent was placed, thus completing the procedure. No complications associated with the intervention were reported and at his latest consultation, five months after the procedure, the patient stated he had no recurrence of pain.

Endoscopic treatment for managing main pancreatic duct obstruction is effective in adequately evaluated patients. Stones smaller than 5 mm or radiolucent stones located in the head or body of the pancreas can be managed through conventional ERCP, utilizing a balloon or basket. For radio-opaque stones larger than 5 mm, management with ESWL is suggested, but it's high cost and low availability are limitations. Pancreatoscopy-guided lithotripsy (PGL) is another endoscopic treatment option in such cases. Pancreatoscopy enables direct stone visualization, facilitating more precise fragmentation and confirming the complete cleaning of the pancreatic duct; concomitant strictures can also be evaluated. The combination of ERCP and pancreatoscopy tends to be more successful than ERCP alone.

The pancreatoscope has a very small diameter and can be inserted through the working channel of the duodenoscope. Likewise, the pancreatoscope has its own working channel, through which special accessories can be introduced, such as the lithotripsy probe. Both laser PGL and electrohydraulic PGL are performed at a distance of 0.5 mm from the stone and do not make contact with it. Prophylactic antibiotic use is recommended in all cases.

PGL is considered by many to be second-line endoscopic treatment because it is a much more complex procedure than ESWL, and information on the modality is still scarce. In a systematic review, the stone fragmentation success rate was 85.77%, achieving complete fragmentation in 62.05% of cases. The severe adverse effect rate was reported at 4.84%. No significant differences were found in the comparison of electrohydraulic lithotripsy and laser lithotripsy, with respect to fragmentation success and adverse effects.
In a recent study that evaluated electrohydraulic PGL as first-line treatment for such cases, stone resolution was 70.6%. Impossibility of achieving adequate access to the pancreatic duct was one of the main limitations for completing the procedures. Taking into account only the cases in which adequate access to the pancreatic duct was achieved, the technical success rate was 92.3%. There was also a significant decrease in the pain scale scores. Pancreatitis presented in 28% of the cases, all of which were mild.  

In conclusion, PGL is a treatment option to consider in selected cases of chronic pancreatitis, when symptom control through medical management is insufficient.

Ethical considerations

The authors declare that they followed the bioethics protocols of their work center regarding the publication of patient data. Given the type of publication, no evaluation by an ethics committee was required. The authors declare that this article contains no personal information of the patient and that he gave his informed consent for this publication.

Financial disclosure

No financial support was received in relation to this article.

Author contributions

Drafting of the manuscript: PVH, FRV; data collection: PVH, IFA; video editing: FRV; manuscript review: FRV, ROA, JFML.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References


P. Valdez-Hernández a, b, F. Romero-Vallejo a, J.F. Molina-López a, R. Olavide-Aguilar a, L. Fonseca-Rodriguez a

a Departamento de Endoscopia, Centro Médico ABC, Mexico City, Mexico
b Departamento de Cirugía, Centro Médico ABC, Mexico City, Mexico

Corresponding author: Sur 136 No. 116, Col. Las Américas, Mexico City, C.P. 01120, Mexico. Tel.: 5564787263.
E-mail address: pedrozedlavy@gmail.com (P. Valdez-Hernández).

Pulse granuloma in the abdominal cavity mimicking an adrenal gland tumor

Granuloma vegetal en cavidad abdominal simulando un tumor en glándula suprarrenal

A pulse granuloma, or vegetable granuloma, corresponds to a granulomatous inflammatory response secondary to particles of food or vegetable material, with characteristic hyaline rings and multinucleated giant cells. Described in the lung in 1969, its most common site of involvement is the oral cavity (typically in the mandible of edentulous patients with dental prostheses, in the walls of odontogenic cysts, in dental caries, open dental alveoli, and in teeth with previous endodontic treatment). Its presentation in the abdominal cavity is very rare.1–3

A 48-year-old woman with an unremarkable past medical history had vague abdominal pain of 6-month progression. Upon evaluation, systemic arterial hypertension and anemia were identified. She presented with elevated serum dopamine levels (936 pg/ml), for which pheochromocytoma was suspected (serum adrenaline and noradrenaline levels were within normal ranges). Magnetic resonance imaging was carried out that reported a “left heterogeneous oval-shaped adrenal gland tumor, probably a myelolipoma,