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Surgical approach to anorectal melanoma: A case report

Abordaje quirúrgico del melanoma anorrectal, a propósito de un caso

Mucosal melanomas are rare tumors and account for 1.3% of all cases of melanoma.1 Anorectal melanoma (ARM) makes up 1% of the cancers of the anal canal and is the third most common melanoma, after the cutaneous and ocular types. The anal canal is the most common site for primary gastrointestinal melanoma.2,3 ARM is more frequent in women, with a female-to-male ratio of 1.5:1 and mainly affects advanced age patients.4 The disease tends to go undetected until symptoms present, which can include rectal bleeding, anal pain, pruritus, tenesmus, change in bowel habit, or the presence of an anorectal mass.5,6 Palpable inguinal lymphadenopathy, other regional adenopathy, or synchronous distant metastasis present less frequently.7

Abdominoperineal resection (APR) used to be considered the best treatment option, but more recent evidence suggests that survival is the same with local excision (LE), which results in less perioperative morbidity.8 The use of radiotherapy, chemotherapy, or adjuvant immunotherapy has been a subject of debate.9

A 63-year-old woman had a medical history of diabetes and high blood pressure, for which she was receiving treatment. Six months earlier she began to have scant rectal bleeding after a bowel movement that progressively increased. Pharmacologic treatment afforded little improvement. She arrived at the emergency department due to abundant rectal bleeding. Upon admission, an 8 cm prolapsed tumor, protruding through the anus, with two 1 cm flaps, was identified (Fig. 1A). Laboratory work-up reported leukocytes 13.2 cell/mm3, hemoglobin 9.8 g/dl, hematocrit 29%, glucose 140 mg/dl, BUN 40 mg/dl, urea 85.6 mg/dl, and creatinine 2.0 mg/dl. The diagnosis was probable rectal cancer. Colonoscopy was performed, revealing a 6 cm dark, friable tumor, and a computed tomography (CT) scan showed
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The majority of studies show a higher local control rate for patients that have undergone APR, but no survival benefit, when compared with LE. When surgery is indicated in cases of melanoma located in the anorectal junction, APR is likely required, given that LE is probably not possible in such cases. Likewise, APR can be applied to lesions within the anal canal itself, whereas those at the anal verge are benefited more by LE. APR has the advantage of controlling lymphatic spread and creating wider excision margins, resulting in a lower local recurrence rate. On the other hand, LE offers patients an apparently equivalent symptom control, in addition to the opportunity for cure, with significantly less morbidity and the avoidance of a permanent colostomy.

Current scientific evidence indicates that radical surgery does not improve survival in patients with ARM, and so APR should only be performed in patients in whom LE is not technically possible. LE can be carried out if it results in R0 resection, i.e., an adequate resection margin.

The planning of surgery after thorough clinical and radiologic evaluations, including magnetic resonance imaging of the pelvis and whole-body PET/CT, can aid in defining the appropriate surgical approach for achieving R0 resection. The goal of primary ARM resection should be to obtain negative margins, while maintaining sphincter function.
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Figure 2  A. Mucosa in the anal canal with neoplastic cell infiltration at the junction. B. Connective tissue with melanin pigmentation that extends in a lentiform manner.
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