



# REVISTA DE GASTROENTEROLOGÍA DE MÉXICO

[www.elsevier.es/rgmx](http://www.elsevier.es/rgmx)



## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Prevalence of metabolic associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) in patients with gallstone disease. Study on a cohort of cases in South-Southeastern Mexico<sup>☆</sup>



F. Roesch-Dietlen<sup>a,\*</sup>, A.G. Pérez-Morales<sup>b</sup>, P. Grube-Pagola<sup>c</sup>, M. González-Santes<sup>d</sup>,  
F. Díaz-Roesch<sup>e</sup>, A. Triana-Romero<sup>f</sup>, L. Roesch-Ramos<sup>g</sup>, J.M. Remes-Troche<sup>h</sup>,  
M. Cruz-Aguilar<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Departamento de Gastroenterología, Instituto de Investigaciones Médico-Biológicas, Universidad Veracruzana, Veracruz, Mexico

<sup>b</sup> Profesor de la Facultad de Medicina y Vicerrector, Universidad Veracruzana, Región Veracruz-Boca del Río, Mexico

<sup>c</sup> Anatomopatólogo, Instituto de Investigaciones Médico-Biológicas, Universidad Veracruzana, Veracruz, Mexico

<sup>d</sup> Profesor de la Facultad de Bioanálisis, Universidad Veracruzana, Veracruz, Mexico

<sup>e</sup> Residente, Hospital Español, Mexico City, Mexico

<sup>f</sup> Médico en Servicio Social CONACyT, Instituto de Investigaciones Médico-Biológicas, Universidad Veracruzana, Veracruz, Mexico

<sup>g</sup> Profesora y Directora, Facultad de Odontología, Universidad Veracruzana, Mexico

<sup>h</sup> Departamento de Neurogastroenterología, Instituto de Investigaciones Médico-Biológicas, Universidad Veracruzana, Veracruz, Mexico

Received 15 March 2021; accepted 7 September 2021

Available online 29 May 2023

## KEYWORDS

Metabolic  
(dysfunction)  
associated fatty liver  
disease;  
Gallstones;  
Metabolic syndrome

## Abstract

**Introduction:** Metabolic (dysfunction) associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) and gallstone disease are entities that share similar risk factors. Numerous publications confirm their elevated frequency, but few studies have considered their prevalence and possible association.

**Aims:** To determine the prevalence of MAFLD in patients with gallstone disease and the usefulness of liver biopsy for diagnosing the liver disease.

**Materials and methods:** A prospective study was conducted on patients that underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy, in whom liver biopsy was performed.

**Variables analyzed:** Anthropometric characteristics, biochemical profile, conventional ultrasound, risk factors, and histopathologic study of the liver biopsy.

**Statistical analysis:** Descriptive statistics were carried out for the quantitative variables and the Student's t test and multivariate analysis through binary logistic regression were employed for the continuous variables, utilizing IBM-SPSS, 25.0 (Windows) software.

**Results:** A total of 136 patients were classified into 2 groups: 40 (29.41%) with normal liver and 96 (70.59%) with MAFLD. Of the 136 patients, 71 patients (52.21%) corresponded to hepatic

<sup>☆</sup> Please cite this article as: Roesch-Dietlen F, Pérez-Morales AG, Grube-Pagola P, González-Santes M, Díaz-Roesch F, Triana-Romero A, Roesch-Ramos L, Remes-Troche JM, Cruz-Aguilar M. Prevalencia de la esteatosis hepática metabólica (EHMet) en pacientes con litiasis vesicular. Estudio de una cohorte de casos en el sur-sureste de México. Rev Gastroenterol Méx. 2023;88:225–231.

\* Corresponding author at: Instituto de Investigaciones Médico-Biológicas, Agustín de Iturbide s/n, Col. Flores Magón, CP 91700, Veracruz, Mexico. Tel.: 2299027565.

E-mail address: [federicoroesch@hotmail.com](mailto:federicoroesch@hotmail.com) (F. Roesch-Dietlen).

steatosis, 21 (15.44%) to steatohepatitis, and 4 (2.94%) to cirrhosis. Perisinusoidal inflammation was found in 39 cases (28.68%) and fibrosis was found in 10 (7.35%). The risk factors for both groups were age, diabetes, high blood pressure, and obesity. Glucose, triglyceride, and aminotransferase levels were significantly higher in the MAFLD group and conventional ultrasound demonstrated moderate concordance for its detection.

**Discussion and conclusions:** The results confirmed the elevated frequency of MAFLD associated with gallstone disease, justifying liver biopsy during cholecystectomy for diagnosing MAFLD.

© 2022 Asociación Mexicana de Gastroenterología. Published by Masson Doyma México S.A. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## PALABRAS CLAVE

Esteatosis hepática metabólica;  
Litiasis vesicular;  
Síndrome metabólico

## Prevalencia de la esteatosis hepática metabólica (EHMet) en pacientes con litiasis vesicular. Estudio de una cohorte de casos en el sur-sureste de México

### Resumen

**Introducción y objetivo:** La esteatosis hepática metabólica (EHMet) y la colelitiasis son entidades que comparten factores de riesgo similares. Numerosas publicaciones confirman su elevada frecuencia, sin embargo, pocos estudios han considerado su prevalencia y posible asociación.

**Objetivo:** Determinar la prevalencia de EHMet en pacientes con colelitiasis y la utilidad de la biopsia hepática para el diagnóstico de la enfermedad.

**Material y métodos:** Estudio prospectivo de pacientes sometidos a colecistectomía laparoscópica a los cuales se realizó biopsia hepática.

**Variables analizadas:** Características antropométricas, perfil bioquímico, ultrasonido convencional, factores de riesgo y estudio histopatológico de biopsia hepática.

**Análisis estadístico:** Se emplearon estadísticas descriptivas para variables cuantitativas y t de Student y análisis multivariado mediante regresión logística binaria para variables continuas, empleando el programa IBM-SPSS, 25.0 (Windows).

**Resultados:** Ciento treinta y seis pacientes, clasificados en 2 grupos: hígado normal 40 (29.41%) y EHMet 96 (70.59%) de ellos 71 (52.21%) correspondieron a esteatosis hepática, 21 (15.44%) a esteatohepatitis y 4 (2.94%) a cirrosis. En 39 casos (28.68%) se encontró inflamación perisinusoidal y en 10 (7.35%) fibrosis. Los factores de riesgo para ambos grupos fueron edad, diabetes, hipertensión arterial y obesidad; los niveles de glucosa, triglicéridos y aminotransferasas fueron significativamente superiores en EHMet y el ultrasonido convencional fue un estudio de moderada concordancia para su detección.

**Discusión y conclusiones:** Los resultados confirman la elevada frecuencia de EHMet asociada a colelitiasis por lo cual se justifica realizar la biopsia hepática durante la colecistectomía para establecer su diagnóstico.

© 2022 Asociación Mexicana de Gastroenterología. Publicado por Masson Doyma México S.A. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Introduction and aims

Metabolic (dysfunction) associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) has become one of the most frequent chronic liver diseases, with an estimated prevalence in the general adult population of 25-40%,<sup>1-4</sup> increasing in the at-risk population to 62-84%, and whose natural progression conditions its advanced stages of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma.<sup>5-7</sup> Because of its elevated prevalence and high morbidity and mortality rates, MAFLD is considered a public health problem that not only affects individual health and the family economy, but also greatly impacts health-care costs worldwide.<sup>8-11</sup> One of the most important risk factors is obesity, which has notably increased in Mexico. In 2019, obesity held second place in the adult popula-

tion across the globe, as well as first place in childhood obesity, with a frequency of 15.7-42.1% in the general population.<sup>12-22</sup>

Likewise, gallstone disease has a high prevalence in productive-age women and shares similar risk factors with MAFLD, such as obesity, diabetes, high blood pressure, dyslipidemia, and multiparity.<sup>23-28</sup>

The relation between the two entities has been reported by different groups worldwide, but information in Mexico is scarce. Therefore, we decided to conduct the present study to determine their prevalence and possible association.<sup>29-32</sup>

Our aim was to determine the prevalence of MAFLD in patients with gallstone disease and the usefulness of liver biopsy in diagnosing MAFLD.

**Table 1** Risk factors in the study population with gallstones and metabolic (dysfunction) associated fatty liver disease.

Risk factor	Gallstones n = 136		MAFLD n = 96	
	n	%	n	%
<i>Diabetes mellitus</i>	31	22.79	30	31.25
<i>High blood pressure</i>	46	33.82	42	43.75
<i>Hypercholesterolemia</i>	48	35.29	24	25.00
<i>Hypertriglyceridemia</i>	71	52.21	45	46.88
<b>BMI</b>				
Normal	44	32.35	23	23.96
Overweight	53	38.97	39	40.63
Obesity	39	28.68	33	34.38
<i>Parity ≥ 3</i>	48	35.29	31	32.39

BMI: body mass index; MAFLD: metabolic (dysfunction) associated fatty liver disease.

## Materials and methods

A prospective, observational, and comparative study was carried out on patients diagnosed with symptomatic gallstone disease that underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy and liver biopsy, within the time frame of January 2017 and March 2020, after having given their informed consent. They were seen at private hospitals in the city of Veracruz, in collaboration with the Department of Pathologic Anatomy at the *Instituto de Investigaciones Médico-Biológicas* of the *Universidad Veracruzana*. The study variables were age, sex, weight, height, body mass index (BMI), family history, and associated comorbidities (obesity, high blood pressure, diabetes mellitus, and number of pregnancies). The blood levels of hemoglobin, hematocrit, leukocytes, neutrophils, lymphocytes and platelets, glucose, blood urea nitrogen, urea, creatinine, total cholesterol, high density lipoprotein, direct bilirubin, indirect bilirubin, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), total protein, and albumin were analyzed, and the results of conventional ultrasound imaging were evaluated.

**Inclusion criteria:** patients of both sexes in whom hepatitis B and/or hepatitis C were ruled out and patients whose alcohol intake was below 150 g/week.

**Exclusion criteria:** patients with gallstones, of both sexes, with positive viral markers for hepatitis B or hepatitis C, and patients whose alcohol intake was high.

**Study universe:** consecutive patients that underwent cholecystectomy due to gallstones.

Wedge biopsy of the liver was performed in segment 4 of the right lobe. The samples were fixed in 10% formaldehyde and underwent hematoxylin & eosin and Masson’s trichrome staining at the Department of Pathologic Anatomy of the *Instituto de Investigaciones Médico-Biológicas*. They were interpreted and classified according to the NAFLD Activity Score (NAS) proposed by Kleiner et al.<sup>33</sup>

**Statistical analysis:** the results were analyzed using the descriptive statistics of measures of central tendency and dispersion for the quantitative variables and using the Student’s t test and a multivariate analysis through binary logistic regression for the continuous variables. Statistical significance was set at a p of 0.05 and the IBM-SPSS version 25.0 for Windows software was employed.

**Ethical considerations:** the study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and the NOM-012-SSA3-

2012, and the protocol was approved by the Bioethics and Research Committee of the Faculty of Medicine of the *Universidad Veracruzana* in Veracruz-Boca del Río.

## Results

A total of 142 patients diagnosed with gallstone disease that underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy and liver biopsy were evaluated. Six of the patients were eliminated because they did not meet the inclusion criteria, leaving 136 patients to make up the study group. The mean age of the study patients was 50.85 ± 15.28 years (range: 21-82) and there was a predominance of women (108 [79.40%]) over men (28 [20.60%]).

The risk factors found in the patients with gallstones were diabetes mellitus in 31 cases (22.79%), high blood pressure in 46 cases (33.82%), and dyslipidemia in 119 cases (87.5%); in relation to BMI, 97 patients (71.3%) presented with normal weight or overweight and 39 patients (28.68%) presented with obesity; and 48 women (35.29%) (range: 0-10) were multiparous (≥ 3 births). The risk factors in the MAFLD group were diabetes mellitus in 30 cases (31.25%), high blood pressure in 42 cases (43.75%), and dyslipidemia in 69 cases (71.88%); in relation to BMI, 62 patients (64.58%) presented with normal weight or overweight and 33 patients (34.38%) presented with obesity; 31 women (32.29%) were multiparous (≥ 3 births) (Table 1).

Based on the histologic findings in the liver biopsies, the patients were divided into 2 groups: group 1 = 40 patients (29.41%) with normal results and group 2 = 96 patients (70.59%) with MAFLD. The diagnoses in group 2 were hepatic steatosis in 71 cases (52.21%) (the majority of which were mild [66.20%]), steatohepatitis in 21 cases (15.44%), and cirrhosis in 4 cases (2.94%). In the patients with MAFLD, perisinusoidal inflammation was identified in 39 cases (28.68%), fibrosis in 10 cases (7.35%), and cirrhosis in 4 cases (2.94%) (Table 2).

In order of frequency, the statistically significant risk factors for MAFLD were type 2 diabetes mellitus, high blood pressure, hypercholesterolemia, and hypertriglyceridemia; on the other hand, sex and obesity were shown to have an odds ratio (OR) of -1.0 as protective factors against MAFLD, and in relation to age in years, the mean age was significantly higher in the MAFLD group (p < 0.05) (Table 3).

**Table 2** Histologic alterations found in the 136 liver biopsies analyzed.

Histologic diagnosis	n = 136	%
Normal liver	40	29.41
Steatosis	71	52.21
Grade I	47	66.20
Grade II	21	29.58
Grade III	3	4.23
Steatohepatitis	21	15.44
Cirrhosis	4	2.94

In relation to the biochemical profile, the serum levels of glucose, triglycerides, AST, ALT, and alkaline phosphatase were significantly higher in the MAFLD patients than in the patients with normal results ( $p < 0.05$ ); in some parameters, such as indirect bilirubin and total protein, their values were slightly higher, but not statistically significant (Table 4).

Conventional ultrasound imaging results in the subjects with a histologic diagnosis of normal liver showed no

alterations in 38 cases (95.0%) and identified alterations suggestive of MAFLD in 2 cases (5.9%), whereas in the cases with a histologic diagnosis of MAFLD, 38 cases (53.52%) were reported as normal and 33 (46.48%) were suggestive of steatosis, with a Cohen’s kappa coefficient of 0.44 and a 95% CI of 0.29-0.59, revealing moderate diagnostic concordance and only a total level of good concordance of 0.71 (Table 5).

**Discussion**

MAFLD is a chronic progressive disease characterized by abnormal fat accumulation that presents in persons that consume fewer than 20g of alcohol daily, and in persons in whom other causes have been ruled out, such as medications, iron metabolism alterations, viral infections, and causes secondary to bariatric surgery and malnutrition. The broad spectrum of MAFLD includes simple steatosis, steatohepatitis, cirrhosis of the liver, and hepatocellular carcinoma,<sup>15,26</sup> and it has become one of the most common causes of chronic liver disease. It affects one-fourth of the

**Table 3** Anthropometric characteristics and risk factors of the study groups (group 1 [normal liver] and group 2 [MAFLD]).

Parameter	Normal (n = 40)		MAFLD (n = 96)		OR	95% CI	p
	n	%	n	%			
Age (years)	43.10 ± 13.86	–	54.08 ± 14.73	–	–	48.23-53.47	0.000 <sup>b</sup>
Female sex	34	85	74	77.08	0.453	0.159-1.293	0.132
Obesity	20	50	71	73.96	0.338	0.156-0.733	0.005 <sup>a</sup>
Diabetes mellitus	2	5	29	30.21	8.224	1.859-36.386	0.001 <sup>a</sup>
High blood pressure	5	12.5	41	42.71	5.218	1.881-14.479	0.001 <sup>a</sup>
Hypercholesterolemia	9	22.5	39	40.63	2.357	1.011-5.495	0.049 <sup>a</sup>
Hypertriglyceridemia	15	37.5	56	58.33	2.333	1.094-4.979	0.027 <sup>a</sup>
Parity ≥ 3	14	35	34	35.42	–	–	0.520

CI: confidence interval; MAFLD: metabolic (dysfunction) associated fatty liver disease; OR: odds ratio.

<sup>a</sup>  $\chi^2$  ( $p < 0.05$ ), significant.

<sup>b</sup> t ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 4** Biochemical profile of the group 1 (normal liver) and group 2 (MAFLD) patients.

Parameter	Normal (n = 40)			MAFLD (n = 96)			p
	Mean ± SD	Median	95% CI	Mean ± SD	Median	95% CI	
Glucose (mg/dl)	83.68 ± 12.05	96.00	89.82-97.53	107.47 ± 27.44	103.00	101.91-113.03	0.001 <sup>a</sup>
Cholesterol (mg/dl)	186.45 ± 40.26	187.00	173.38-199.52	197.30 ± 41.26	194.00	188.94-199.52	0.163 <sup>b</sup>
HDL (mg/dl)	49.06 ± 16.81	45.00	42.78-55.34	50.60 ± 16.48	49.00	46.58-54.62	0.587
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	146.07 ± 54.16	134.50	128.75-163.40	182.22 ± 82.54	164.00	165.49-198.94	0.004 <sup>a</sup>
Direct bilirubin (mg/dl)	0.175 ± 0.136	0.200	0.130-0.221	0.202 ± 0.126	0.200	0.176-0.228	0.105
Indirect bilirubin (mg/dl)	0.654 ± 1.509	0.400	0.151-1.157	0.464 ± 0.192	0.400	0.424-0.505	0.064
AST (μ/l)	25.00 ± 11.24	23.00	21.40-28.60	32.95 ± 18.34	28.00	29.23-36.66	0.001 <sup>a</sup>
ALT (μ/l)	33.17 ± 23.39	27.50	25.69-40.66	59.23 ± 38.28	48.00	51.47-66.99	0.000 <sup>a</sup>
Total protein (g/dl)	7.49 ± 0.61	7.60	7.27-7.72	7.28 ± 0.59	7.35	7.15-7.41	0.073
Albumin (g/dl)	4.042 ± 0.35	4.00	3.91-4.17	3.88 ± 0.49	4.00	3.77-3.99	0.209
Alkaline phosphatase (μ/l)	76.45 ± 40.69	69.00	63.43-89.47	102.66 ± 47.9	89.00	92.95-112.36	0.006 <sup>a</sup>

ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; CI: confidence interval; HDL: high density lipoprotein; SD: standard deviation.

<sup>a</sup> Mann-Whitney U test ( $p < 0.05$ ), significant.

<sup>b</sup> t test ( $p > 0.05$ ), not significant.



**Table 5** Concordance between patients with a histologically normal liver, patients with MAFLD, and conventional ultrasound findings.

Ultrasound	Abnormal	Liver biopsy % Normal	%	p
Abnormal	58	42.6	2	1.5
Normal	38	27.9	38	27.9

<sup>a</sup> Kappa index,  $p < 0.05$ , significant.

world population (14-35%),<sup>15-18</sup> with variations in different regions. The highest rates are in Western Europe and the United States, where differences between diverse social groups have been found (45% in Hispanics, 33% in Whites, and 24% in African Americans).<sup>1,5,34,35</sup> A significant increase is estimated worldwide in the coming decades. By 2030 it is calculated to reach 24.6% in Japan, 26.2% in China, 43% in Germany, and 49% in Spain.<sup>36-38</sup> Isolated reports in Latin America show a prevalence of 17-33% in the general population, similar to that of the rest of the world,<sup>20,39,40</sup> describing an increase to 44% in patients with overweight and obesity that underwent liver biopsy.<sup>38</sup> In Mexico, the reported prevalence of MAFLD varies, depending on the population studied and the methodology employed for its detection. At the beginning of the year 2000, prevalence was reported at 4.6-15.7% in population studies,<sup>16-19</sup> whereas through conventional ultrasound imaging, prevalence was 28.65% in subjects above 18 years of age.<sup>20,21</sup> Recently, in a population study conducted by Bernal-Reyes et al. that included 585 individuals above 18 years of age from different regions of Mexico, prevalence was 42.1%.<sup>22</sup>

On the other hand, gallstone disease has a high prevalence worldwide, estimated to present in 8 to 11% of the adult population. The highest incidence is in White adults (8-15%) in reports from Austria, Germany, Spain, Switzerland, and the United States, describing approximately 600,000 new cases annually; incidence is intermediate (3-8%) in the United Kingdom, Germany, Japan, Ireland, Norway, and New Zealand; and incidence is low (0.8-3%) in Blacks and in Asian countries.<sup>39,40</sup> Rates in Argentina, Bolivia, and Chile have been reported to be similar to those in the United States, and prevalence in Latin America, including Mexico, is 5-15%.<sup>41-44</sup> In the present study, 70.59% of the patients with gallstone disease had histologic alterations characterized as MAFLD and 29.41% had normal liver, which are higher figures than those reported in population studies or ultrasound findings. They are also higher than the results of a similar study conducted by Ramos-de la Medina et al. in 2008 that reported gallstones in only 45% of the cases.<sup>32</sup> Likewise, steatosis was the predominant clinical disease, presenting in 52.21% of the patients, whereas 15.44% of the cases corresponded to steatohepatitis, and 2.94% to cirrhosis (Table 3).

In a meta-analysis conducted in 2016, Shabanzadeh et al. established the fact that there are risk factors implicit in gallstone disease that are difficult to modify, such as age, predominance of female sex over male sex (at a ratio of 4.3:1), and genetic alterations in indigenous groups in the United States and Eastern Asia.<sup>45-47</sup> In our group of patients with gallstone disease, the mean age was  $54.08 \pm 14.7$  years, higher than the  $43.10 \pm 13.86$  years of age of the patients with normal weight (95% CI: 48.23-53.47 and  $p = 0.0000$ ). There was a predominance of women (79.4%) over men

(20.6%) The majority of women were married (77.9%) and were housewives (54.4%). High blood pressure, diabetes, obesity, dyslipidemia, and multiparity,<sup>48-52</sup> as well as gallbladder stasis and bacterial permeation,<sup>53-56</sup> were associated with gallbladder disease.

In our study, approximately 90% of the patients diagnosed with MAFLD had at least one of the components of metabolic syndrome and 30% met 3 of its diagnostic criteria, conferring upon that group an 11-times higher risk for presenting with obesity, type 2 diabetes, and high blood pressure, compared with the normal weight patients ( $p < 0.05$ ). Even though hypertriglyceridemia and multiparity were also higher in that group, they did not reach statistical significance, similar to that reported in the international literature. In relation to the frequency of MAFLD with sex, it was higher in women (74 cases, 77.08%) than in men (22 cases, 22.92%), ( $p = 0.168$ ).

In the initial phases of MAFLD, the clinical data are scarce and nonspecific, and its diagnosis tends to be incidental, upon finding elevated aminotransferases or minimal changes identified through conventional ultrasound and liver function tests. Therefore, the 2019 Mexican Consensus on NAFLD by the *Asociación Mexicana de Gastroenterología* and the *Asociación Mexicana de Hepatología* recommend their performance as screening methods directed at the high-risk population, in particular, patients with one or more components of metabolic syndrome.<sup>57</sup> In our case series the AST values in the healthy liver were  $25.00 \pm 11.24$   $\mu$ /l (95% CI: 21.40-28.60) vs.  $32.95 \pm 18.34$   $\mu$ /l (95% CI: 29.23-36.66) in MAFLD ( $p = 0.001$ ), and the ALT values were  $33.17 \pm 23.39$  u/l (95% CI: 21.40-28.60) vs.  $59.23 \pm 38.28$   $\mu$ /l (95% CI: 51.47-66.99), respectively ( $p = 0.000$ ). Conventional ultrasound had moderate concordance for detecting steatosis in our series.

The biochemical profile of lipids and liver function tests in the patients with MAFLD showed significantly higher levels of glucose, triglycerides, and alkaline phosphatase, compared with the patients with normal liver ( $p < 0.05$ ), whereas the levels of direct bilirubin, indirect bilirubin, total protein, and albumin showed no statistical significance between the two groups.

In our study, ultrasound imaging had a concordance of 42.6% with the abnormal liver and a total level of concordance of 0.71,  $p \leq 0.000$ , with a kappa index of 0.41 and a 95% CI of 0.29-0.59, which we considered moderate, in relation to the histopathologic findings.

## Conclusions

Our results demonstrated an elevated prevalence of MAFLD in patients that presented with cholelithiasis between the fourth and sixth decades of life, and even though there were

a majority of women in the MAFLD and normal liver groups, the risk for gallstone disease was slightly predominant in men.

MAFLD and gallstone disease share risk factors and biochemical behavior, such as components of metabolic syndrome.

Even though liver biopsy is not exempt from morbidity and mortality, the possibility of bleeding and the need for its control under direct vision is reduced to a minimum. Therefore, we believe that the surgical treatment of cholelithiasis can be performed simultaneously with liver biopsy, given that the surgical procedure is safe, efficacious, and relatively inexpensive and liver biopsy is the gold standard in diagnosing MAFLD.

## Financial disclosure

The study was carried out utilizing funds from the participating institutions.

## Conflict of interest

Dr. José María Remes Troche is a consultant and speaker for the Asofarma and Takeda laboratories.

The rest of the authors have no conflict of interest.

## References

- Chalasan N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, et al. The diagnosis and management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Practice Guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, American College of Gastroenterology, and the American Gastroenterological Association. *Hepatology*. 2012;55:2005–23, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.25762>.
- Younossi Z, Anstee QM, Marietti M, et al. Global burden of NAFLD and NASH: trends, predictions, risk factors and prevention. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2018;15:11–20, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2017.109>.
- Younossi ZM. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease - A global public health perspective. *J Hepatol*. 2019;70:531–44, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2018.10.033>.
- Povsic M, Yee-Wong O, Perry R, et al. A structured literature review of the epidemiology and disease burden of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). *Adv Ther*. 2019;36:1574–94, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12325-019-00960-3>.
- Eslam M, Sanyal AJ, George J, et al. MAFLD: A consensus-driven proposed nomenclature for metabolic associated fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2020;158, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2019.11.312>, 1999–2014.e1.
- Eguchi Y, Wong G, Lee EI, et al. Epidemiology of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in Japan: A focused literature review. *JGH Open*. 2020;4:808–17, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jgh3.12349>.
- White DL, Kanwal F, El-Serag HB. Association between nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and risk for hepatocellular cancer, based on systematic review. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2012;10, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2012.10.001>, 1342–59.e2.
- Estes C, Anstee QM, Arias-Loste MT, et al. Modeling NAFLD disease burden in China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain, United Kingdom, and United States for the period 2016–2030. *J. Hepatol*. 2018;69:896–904, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2018.05.036>.
- Bullón-Vela V, Abete I, Zulet MA, et al. Factores de riesgo asociados diferencialmente con la enfermedad del hígado graso no alcohólico en hombres y mujeres con síndrome metabólico. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig*. 2020;112:94–100, <http://dx.doi.org/10.17235/reed.2019.6031/2018>.
- Caballeria L, Pera G, Auladell MA, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with the presence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in an adult population in Spain. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2010;22:24–32, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MEG.0b013e32832fcd0>.
- Wong RJ, Aguilar JM, Cheung R, et al. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis is the second leading etiology of liver disease among adults awaiting liver transplantation in the United States. *Gastroenterology*. 2015;148:547–55, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2014.11.039>.
- Briseño-Bass P, Chávez-Pérez R, López-Zendejas M. Prevalencia y relación de esteatosis hepática con perfil lipídico y hepático en pacientes de chequeo médico. *Rev Gastroenterol Mex*. 2019;84:290–5, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rgmex.2018.05.007>.
- Lizardi-Cervera J, Becerra-Laparra I, Chávez-Tapia N, et al. Prevalencia de hígado graso no alcohólico y síndrome metabólico en población asintomática. *Rev Gastroenterol Mex*. 2006;71:453–9.
- Castro-Martínez MG, Banderas-Lares DZ, Ramírez-Martínez JC, et al. Prevalencia de hígado graso no alcohólico en individuos con síndrome metabólico. *Cir Cir*. 2012;80:128–33.
- Uscanga-Domínguez L, Bielsa-Fernández MV, Huerta-Iga F, et al. L: Guías clínicas de diagnóstico y tratamiento de hepatopatía grasa no alcohólica. *Generalidades. Rev Gastroenterol Mex*. 2008;73:128–44.
- Bernal-Reyes R, Sáenz-Labra A, Bernardo-Escudero R. Prevalencia de la esteatohepatitis no alcohólica (EHNA). Estudio comparativo con diabéticos. *Rev Gastroenterol Mex*. 2000;65:58–62.
- Álvarez-Martínez E, Pérez-Campos E, Leyva-Bohórques P. Prevalencia de esteatohepatitis no alcohólica en adultos con síndrome metabólico en Oaxaca. *Gac Med Mex*. 2005;141:7.
- Roesch-Dietlen F, Dorantes-Cuellar A, Carrillo-Toledo M, et al. Frecuencia de hígado grado no alcohólico en un grupo de pacientes con síndrome metabólico estudiado en la ciudad de Veracruz. *Rev Gastroenterol Mex*. 2006;71:446–52.
- Aguilar-Salinas CA, Rojas R, Gómez-Pérez FJ, et al. High prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Mexico. *Arch Med Res*. 2004;35:76–81, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arcmed.2003.06.006>.
- López-Velázquez JA, Silva-Vidal KV, Ponciano-Rodríguez G, et al. The prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in the Americas. *Ann Hepatol*. 2014;13:166–78. PMID: 24552858.
- Ortega-Chavarría MJ, Cornelio-Rodríguez G, Rodríguez-Weber F, et al. Prevalencia del hígado graso no alcohólico y su asociación con alteraciones bioquímicas en una población mexicana asintomática. *Acta Médica Grupo Ángeles*. 2020;18:127–32, <http://dx.doi.org/10.35366/93885MT127>.
- Bernal-Reyes JM, Remes-Troche LA, Chi-Cervera M, et al. Prevalencia y características clínico-epidemiológicas de una población mexicana con MAFLD (Metabolic Associated Fatty Liver Disease): un estudio en población abierta. *Rev Gastroenterol México*. 2020;85:13–4.
- Attili AF, Carulli N, Roda E, et al. Epidemiology of gallstone disease in Italy: prevalence data of the Multicenter Italian Study on Cholelithiasis (M.I.COL.). *Am J Epidemiol*. 1995;141:158–65, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a117403>.
- Cremer A, Arvanitakis M. Diagnosis and management of bile stone disease and its complications. *Minerva Gastroenterol Dietol*. 2016;62:103–29. PMID: 26771377.
- Di Ciaula A, Wang DQ, Portincasa P. Cholesterol cholelithiasis: part of a systemic metabolic disease, prone to primary prevention. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2019;13:157–71, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17474124.2019.1549988>.
- Hamaguchi M, Kojima T, Takeda N, et al. The metabolic syndrome as a predictor of nonalcoholic

- fatty liver disease. *Ann Intern Med.* 2005;143:722–8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-143-10-200511150-00009>.
27. Salva-Pastor N, Chávez-Tapia NC, Uribe M, et al. The diagnostic and initial approach of the patient with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: role of the primary care provider. *Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench.* 2019;12:267–77. PMID: 31749914.
  28. Méndez-Sánchez N, Arrese M, Gadano A, et al. The Latin American Association for the Study of the Liver (ALEH) position statement on the redefinition of fatty liver disease. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2021;6:65–72, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253\(20\)30340-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(20)30340-X).
  29. Khaw KB, Choi RH, Kam JH, et al. Interval increase in the prevalence of symptomatic cholelithiasis-associated non-alcoholic fatty liver disease over a ten-year period in an Asian population. *Singapore Med J.* 2017;58:703–7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.11622/smedj.2016189>.
  30. Singh K, Dahiya D, Kaman L, et al. Prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and hypercholesterolemia in patients with gallstone disease undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Pol Przegl Chir.* 2019;15(92):18–22, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5604/01.3001.0013.5660>.
  31. Méndez-Sánchez N, Uribe-Esquivel M, Jessurun-Solomou J, et al. Epidemiology of gallstone disease in Mexico. *Rev Invest Clin.* 1990;42:48–52. PMID: 19256134.
  32. Ramos-de la Medina A, Remes-Troche JM, Roesch-Dietlen F, et al. Routine liver biopsy to screen for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) during cholecystectomy for gallstone disease: is it justified? *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2008;12:2097–102, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11605-008-0704-7>.
  33. Kleiner DE, Brunt EM, Van-Natta M, et al. Design and validation of a histological scoring system for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology.* 2005;41:1313–21, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.20701>.
  34. Sherif ZA, Saeed A, Ghavimi S, et al. Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and perspectives on US minority populations. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2016;61:1214–25, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10620-016-4143-0>.
  35. Rich NE, Oji S, Mufti AR, et al. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Prevalence, Severity, and Outcomes in the United States: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2018;16, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2017.09.041>, 198–210.e2.
  36. Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, et al. The diagnosis and management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: practice guideline by the American Gastroenterological Association, American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, and American College of Gastroenterology. *Gastroenterology.* 2012;142:1592–609, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2012.04.001>.
  37. EASL-EASD-EASO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Diabetology.* 2016;59:1121–2114, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00125-016-3902-y>.
  38. Younossi ZM, Koenig AB, Abdelatif D, et al. Global epidemiology of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease-meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence and outcomes. *Hepatology.* 2016;64:73–84, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.28431>.
  39. Song ST, Shi J, Wang XH, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for gallstone disease: A population-based cross-sectional study. *J Dig Dis.* 2020;21:237–45, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1751-2980.12857>.
  40. Stinton LM, Myers RP, Shaffer EA. Epidemiology of gallstones. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am.* 2010;39:157–69, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gtc.2010.02.003>.
  41. Méndez-Sánchez N, Jessurun J, Ponciano-Rodríguez G, et al. Prevalence of gallstone disease in Mexico. A necropsy study. *Digest Dis Sci.* 1993;38:680–3, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01316800>.
  42. Martínez-Acosta U, Arzabe-Quiroga J, Zamorano-Vicente I, et al. Available from: Incidencia de coleditiásis. Universidad de Aquino Bolivia, Facultad de Medicina; 2005 <http://www.revistaciencias.com/publicaciones/EEkppZyZZALMCzVgzN.php>
  43. Armora-Carbonell CL, Arteaga-Prado Y, Plaza-González T, et al. Diagnóstico clínico y epidemiológico de la litiasis vesicular. *Ciencias Médicas.* 2012;16:200–14 [Accessed 13 Oct 2021]. Available from: <http://scielo.sld.cu/scielo.php?script=sci.arttext&pid=S1561-31942012000100021&lng=es&nrm=iso>
  44. González-Villalpando C, Rivera-Martínez D, Arredondo-Pérez B, et al. High prevalence of cholelithiasis in a low income Mexican population: an ultrasonographic survey. *Arch Med Res.* 1997;28:543–7. PMID: 9428581.
  45. Kumar N, Bansal S, Gupta S, Yadav BL, et al. Association of metabolic syndrome with complicated gall stone disease: our experience. *Int Surg J.* 2019;6:2543–7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2349-2902.isj20192989>.
  46. Shabanzadeh DM, Sorensen LT, Jorgensen T. Determinants for gallstone formation - a new data cohort study and a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 2016;51:1239–48, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00365521.2016.1182583>.
  47. Sampliner RE, Bennett PH, Comers LJ, et al. Gallbladder disease in Pima Indians. Demonstration of high prevalence and early onset by cholecystography. *N Engl J Med.* 1970;283:1358–64, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM197012172832502>.
  48. Gu Q, Zhou G, Xu T. Risk factors for gallstone disease in Shanghai: An observational study. *Medicine.* 2020;99:e18754, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000018754>.
  49. Montes-Teves P. Non alcoholic fatty liver: a growing epidemic. *Rev Gastroenterol Peru.* 2016;36:195–6. PMID: 27716754.
  50. Tagle AM, Poggi ML, Ferrari GN, et al. Hallazgos clínicos, bioquímicos y de histología hepática en adultos peruanos con sobrepeso y obesidad: primer estudio prospectivo nacional. *Rev Gastroenterol Perú.* 2008;28:323–31. PMID: 19156177.
  51. Liu K, McCaughan GW. Epidemiology and etiologic associations of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and associated HCC. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 2018;1061:3–18, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-8684-7-2>.
  52. Kumar R, Priyadarshi RN, Anand U. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Growing burden, adverse outcomes and associations. *J Clin Transl Hepatol.* 2020;28:76–86, <http://dx.doi.org/10.14218/JCTH.2019.00051>.
  53. Younossi ZM, Golabi P, de Ávila L, et al. The global epidemiology of NAFLD and NASH in patients with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Hepatol.* 2019;71:793–801, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2019.06.021>.
  54. Admirand WH, Small DM. The physico-chemical basis of cholesterol gallstone formation in man. *J Clin Invest.* 1968;47:1043–52, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI105794>.
  55. Castro-Torres IG, Bermúdez-Camps IB. Fisiopatología de cálculos biliares de colesterol: la búsqueda de una diana terapéutica. *Rev Biomed.* 2015;26:13–87. Available from: <http://www.revbiomed.uady.mx/pdf/rb152624.pdf>
  56. Rudling M, Laskar A, Straniero S. Gallbladder bile supersaturated with cholesterol in gallstone patients preferentially develops from shortage of bile acids. *J Lipid Research.* 2019;60:498–505, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1194/jlr.S091199>.
  57. Bernal-Reyes R, Castro-Narro G, Malé-Velázquez R, et al. Consenso mexicano de la enfermedad por hígado graso no alcohólico. *Rev Mex Gastroenterol.* 2019;84:69–99, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rgmx.2018.11.007>.