

mucosa, due to its rapid cell proliferation that induces a rupture in the cell cycle with the subsequent atrophy of the villi, acute inflammation, and fibrosis.⁹ Progressive obliterating vasculitis induces ischemia through vascular thrombosis with intestinal wall fibrosis and necrosis.^{2,8,10}

Only 20% of the patients are referred for gastroenterologic evaluation because symptoms are either underestimated or not recognized.³ The Radiotherapy Oncology Group of Philadelphia has proposed a way to stage lesion grade (Table 1).

Radiation enteritis should initially be managed conservatively, but surgery is indicated when complications present.⁴ Some of the conservative treatment modalities of intestinal lesions due to radiation are the administration of topical anti-inflammatory agents, such as mesalazine or steroids (budesonide), glutamine, or the endoscopic application of formalin (in the large bowel) when there is bleeding.^{1,10}

And finally, surgery should be evaluated in patients with complications from chronic radiation enteritis, given that it is related to a high morbidity rate, prolonged hospital stay, and the possibility of reoperation.¹⁰

Financial disclosure

No financial support was received in relation to this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

1. Turina M, Mulhall AM, Mahid SS, et al. Frequency and surgical management of chronic complications related to pelvic radiation. *Arch Surg*. 2008;143:46–52.

2. Bismar MM, Sinicropo FA. Radiation enteritis. *Curr Gastroenterol Rep*. 2002;4:361–5.
3. Stacey R, Green JT. radiation-induced small bowel disease: Latest developments and clinical guidance. *Ther Adv Chronic Dis*. 2014;5:15–29.
4. Ruiz Tovar J, Morales V, Hervás A, et al. Late gastrointestinal complications after pelvic radiotherapy: Radiation enteritis. *Clin Trans Oncol*. 2009;11:539–43.
5. Navajas León FJ, Lucendo Villarín AJ, Erdozain Sosa JC, et al. Eosinofilia y enteritis actínica secundarias a radioterapia por adenocarcinoma de próstata. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig*. 2005;97:759–61.
6. Martínez Ares D, González Conde B, Souto Ruzo J, et al. Obscure gastrointestinal bleeding: A complication of radiation enteritis diagnosed by wireless capsule endoscopy. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig*. 2004;96:132–4.
7. Chintamani., Badran R, Rk D, et al. Spontaneous enterocutaneous fistula 27- years following radiotherapy in a patient of carcinoma penis. *World J Surg Oncol*. 2003;1:23.
8. Nguyen NP, Antoine JE, Dutta S, et al. Current concepts in radiation enteritis and implications for future clinical trials. *Cancer*. 2002;95:1151–63.
9. Hussain A, Mahmood H, Thomas A, et al. Does chronic radiation enteritis pose a diagnostic challenge? A report of three cases. *Hong Kong Med J*. 2008;14:327–30.
10. Romero Vázquez J, Caunedo Álvarez A, Rodríguez Téllez M, et al. Previously unknown stricture due to radiation therapy diagnosed by capsule endoscopy. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig*. 2005;97:449–54.

Paulino Martínez Hernández Magro*

Departamento de Cirugía de Colon y Recto, Hospital Guadalupano de Celaya, Celaya, Guanajuato, Mexico

*Guadalupe 205-202, Col. Centro. CP 38000. Celaya, Guanajuato, Mexico. Tel.: +52 (461) 6162123.
E-mail address: paulinomhm@hotmail.com

Accidental ingestion of magnets in pediatrics: Emerging health problem[☆]



Ingestión accidental de imanes en Pediatría: un problema de salud emergente

The accidental ingestion of foreign bodies is frequent in pediatrics in children from 6 months to 3 years of age. In 2011 the American Association of Intoxication Control Centers reported 95,705 cases of foreign body ingestion in persons under 20 years of age; 74,725 of those cases were in children under 5 years of age, 80% of which were eliminated spontaneously, 20% required endoscopy, and 1% surgery.^{1–3} Among the most common foreign bodies are coins, pieces of toys, batteries, and less frequently, magnets.

The accidental ingestion of high power magnets (invented in 1982, composed of iron, boron, neodymium, and samarium-cobalt, with a 10-fold greater power of attraction and strengths of up to 1,300 G capable of attracting through 6 layers of intestine) has increased in children under 5 years of age due to their availability in desk accessories, toys, piercings, and necklaces with supposed healing power. In 2006, 20 cases were reported by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control, 75% of which were associated with bowel perforation, and in 2008 there were 200 reports.^{4,5} There has been a peak in accidental ingestion of magnets in children between the ages of 2 and 4 years and 8 and 10 years and it is more frequent in males at a reported 55–72%.⁶

We describe herein a case of accidental ingestion of several magnets in an older lactating child that presented with gastrointestinal symptoms and whose early endoscopic management reduced the associated morbidity described in the literature.

Clinical case

A previously healthy 23-month-old male child presented with colicky abdominal pain and hyporexia accompanied

[☆] Please cite this article as: Cadena-León JF, Cázares-Méndez M, Arguello-Bermeo C, Cervantes Bustamante R, Ramírez-Mayans JA. Ingestión accidental de imanes en Pediatría: un problema de salud emergente. *Revista de Gastroenterología de México*. 2015;80:113–115.



Figure 1 Plain abdominal x-ray showing multiple magnets arranged in a line at the level of the stomach (body and antrum).

with vomiting of the gastrointestinal content. He was taken to a private-sector medical clinic where a plain abdominal x-ray was taken, revealing the presence of a radio-opaque object in the gastric chamber. He presented with an increase in abdominal pain and gastric content vomiting, for which he was referred to our institution. The parents stated in the interview that there had been no prior foreign object ingestion. The physical examination revealed a soft, depressible abdomen that was painful upon palpation at the epigastrium level; there were no signs of peritoneal irritation or acute abdomen. A plain abdominal x-ray was taken (6 h after the first one) (fig. 1) and showed an approximately 9 cm-long foreign body with a metallic aspect in the gastric chamber. The decision was made to perform an endoscopic study, in virtue of the symptomatology and the radiographic evidence.

Diagnostic and therapeutic video panendoscopy was carried out 19 h from the onset of the clinical symptoms; it identified a 10 cm-long metal foreign body made up of 15 oval-shaped pieces measuring 4 mm in width and 5 mm in length, that were adhered to one another, and situated at the greater curvature of the stomach. The foreign body was extracted with a forceps and through laryngoscopy with a Magill forceps (fig. 2). The gastric mucosa presented with some superficial erosions and erythema.

Discussion

The ingestion of a single, isolated magnet is innocuous, similar to that of other foreign bodies, but the presence of numerous magnets represents a greater risk. In the cases in which magnets are located in different bowel segments, the pressure on them could cause mucosal lesions: erosions, ulcers, ischemia, and necrosis, as well as lesions in the intestinal wall situated between them: perforation, peritonitis, bowel obstruction, and fistulas. The formation of small bowel volvulus⁷ and intraperitoneal bleeding⁸ have



Figure 2 Endoscopic image of the magnets at the level of the greater curvature of the stomach.

been reported that merited wide bowel resection, leading to short bowel syndrome and greater mortality.

Plain abdominal radiography can be useful for establishing the diagnosis. In the cases of ingestion of multiple magnets, they can be drawn together or lined up and give the impression that they are in the same place: «a single object». The lack of movement in the control x-rays can be secondary to being trapped in a bowel segment and producing the complications described above.⁸⁻¹⁰

The absence of clinical manifestations should not exclude aggressive intervention in cases of multiple ingested magnets located in different segments of the gastrointestinal tract.

An algorithm for pediatric population management by Hussain et al. (NASPGHAN 2012) has recently been published:

1. Make diagnosis with the presence of gastrointestinal symptoms and/or small magnet antecedents; plain abdominal x-ray.
2. Determine whether they are single or multiple and if there are metal objects, through x-rays in different positions; the latter 2 cases should be treated as emergencies due to the high risk for perforation.
3. X-rays every 8-12 h are recommended if the foreign body is in the intestine in order to evaluate its progression; if it has not moved in 24 h, endoscopic or surgical removal of the object is indicated. No cases of spontaneous elimination of multiple magnets have been reported.⁴

Suspicion and awareness of the complications associated with the accidental ingestion of single or multiple magnets, with or without accompanying metal objects, are essential on the part of health care providers and family members so that early and opportune diagnosis and treatment can be carried out. In the present case of multiple magnets that were arranged in a line in the gastric chamber, the magnets did not progress into other segments of

the gastrointestinal tract, enabling endoscopic management with no complications.

References

- Ahmed A, Hassab M, Al-Hussdini A, et al. Magnetic toy ingestion leading to jejunocecal fistula in a child. Case reports. Saudi Med J. 2010;31:442–4.
- Gün F, Günendi T, Kilic B, et al. Multiple magnet ingestion resulting in small bowel perforation: A case report. Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg. 2013;19:177–9.
- Bornstein A, Spyker D, Cantinela L, et al. 2011 annual report of the American Association of Poison Control Centers National Poison Data System (NPDS): 29th annual report. Clin Toxicol. 2012;50:911–1164.
- Hussain S, Bousvaros A, Gilger M, et al. Management of ingested magnets in children. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2012;55:239–42.
- Chevallier M, Barbier C, Jacquier C, et al. Un aimant vaut mieux que deux. Arch Pediatr. 2012;19:811–4.
- De Roo AM, Thompson T, Chounthirath H, et al. Rare-earth magnet ingestion-related injuries among children, 2000–2012. Clin Pediatr (Phila). 2013;52:1006–13.
- George A, Motiwale S. Magnets, children and the bowel: A dangerous attraction? WJG. 2012;18:5324–8.
- Deveny IA. Hazards of ingested magnets. JLGH. 2009;4:90–3.
- Dutta S, Barzin A. Multiple Magnet Ingestion as a source of severe gastrointestinal complications requiring surgical intervention. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2008;162:123–5.
- Tsai J, Shaul D, Sydorak R, et al. Ingestion of magnetic toys: report of serious complications requiring surgical intervention and a proposed management algorithm. Perm J. 2013;17:11–20.

J.F. Cadena-León*, M. Cázares-Méndez,
C. Arguello-Bermeo, R. Cervantes Bustamante,
J.A. Ramírez-Mayans

*Departamento de Gastroenterología y Nutrición
Pediátrica, Instituto Nacional de Pediatría, Mexico City,
Mexico*

*Corresponding author: Departamento de Gastroenterología y Nutrición Pediátrica, Servicio de Endoscopia. Instituto Nacional de Pediatría. Insurgentes Sur 3700-C, col. Cuicuilco-Coyoacán, CP 04530, Mexico City. Tel.: +(52)10840900, ext 1288. E-mail address: pcaden60@hotmail.com (J.F. Cadena-León).

Simultaneous volvulus of the ileum and sigmoid colon[☆]



Vólvulo simultáneo de íleon y sigmoides

A 33-year-old woman was admitted to the emergency department due to abdominal pain and distension and a 2-day absence of bowel movement. An abdominal CAT scan was taken, showing the whirl sign, and sigmoid colon volvulus was diagnosed (fig. 1). It was resolved through rectosigmoidoscopy and the patient was released. She returned 3 weeks later due to sudden abdominal pain, distension, fecaloid vomiting, and dehydration of 2-day progression. Physical examination revealed absence of peristalsis, abdominal pain and generalized tympanism, and a positive Blumberg's sign. Her CBC reported leukocytosis of 17,300 and 16% band forms. She did not have any radiography studies. An abdominal ultrasound was given, identifying generalized distension of bowel segments and the presence of free fluid. An exploratory laparotomy was performed, considering a diagnosis of recurrent sigmoid colon volvulus, given her past history. Unexpectedly, simultaneous small bowel and sigmoid colon volvulus was found (fig. 2). The ileum was the affected portion of the small bowel, at approximately 2.7 m, just 5 cm from the ileocecal valve. The latter presented with necrosis due to clock-wise torsion of its mesentery, together with the clock-wise volvulus of the sigmoid colon. The decision was made to perform ileal resection with primary anastomosis and sigmoid colon resection with the Hartmann procedure.

The patient had adequate progression and upon improvement was released from the hospital. Colostomy closure has now been carried out and the patient continues to have adequate progression and is being seen in outpatient follow-up.

This case corresponds to a simultaneous volvulus of the ileum and sigmoid colon, also known as double or compound volvulus.

First described by Parker in 1845,³ it is a rare entity that has been reported in the Middle East, Asia, and Africa more often than in the western medical literature.^{1,2}

Three factors have been related to double volvulus: a long and mobile small bowel mesentery, a redundant sigmoid colon with a short pedicle, and a diet simultaneously high in volume and abundant liquid intake.⁴

In relation to its pathogeny, it is suggested that when the abovementioned diet is ingested, it progresses through segments of the jejunum, making them heavier, and causing their collapse toward the left inferior quadrant, while the empty segments of the ileum and distal jejunum twist clockwise around the narrow base of the sigmoid colon.⁴

Double volvulus is a condition that rapidly progresses to gangrene of both twisted segments and the most common complications are peritonitis, sepsis, and dehydration. The main symptoms include abdominal pain (100%), abdominal distension (94–100%), nausea and vomiting (87–100%), and rebound tenderness (69%).⁴

Preoperative diagnosis of this condition is very difficult and is calculated to exist in only 20% of the cases.⁵ However, there are 3 orienting characteristics: symptoms of small bowel occlusion, an x-ray predominantly showing large bowel obstruction, and the impossibility of inserting a sigmoidoscope.⁴

In addition, the so-called whirl sign can be identified through CAT scan. This sign was described by Fisher as an image produced in the mid-gut when intestinal segments

[☆] Please cite this article as: Rivera-Nava JC. Vólvulo simultáneo de íleon y sigmoides. Revista de Gastroenterología de México. 2015;80:115–116.