REVISTA DE GASTROENTEROLOGÍA DE MÉXICO



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Real-world experience with the diagnosis of bile acid malabsorption (BAM) using serum 7-alpha-C4 and 48-hour stool bile acids^{\ddagger}



G. Mendoza-Domínguez^{a,b}, Z.M. Garrido-Santos^{a,b}, C. Lau^c, R. Balbuena^c, A.D. Santana-Vargas^d, M. Schmulson-Wasserman^{a,e,*}

^a Laboratorio de Hígado, Páncreas y Motilidad (HIPAM), Unidad de Investigación en Medicina Experimental (UME) Dr. Ruy Pérez Tamayo, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Mexico City, Mexico

^b Programa de Estudios Combinados en Medicina (PECEM), Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Mexico City, Mexico

^c Laboratorios Biomédica de Referencia, Mexico City, Mexico

^d Departamento de Investigación, Hospital General de México Dr. Eduardo Liceaga, Mexico City, Mexico

^e Gastroenterología y Motilidad Gastrointestinal, Clínica Lomas Altas, Mexico City, Mexico

Received 8 June 2024; accepted 12 August 2024 Available online 17 May 2025

KEYWORDS Abstract Introduction and aims: Bile acid malabsorption (BAM) is responsible for 30% of cases of diarrhea-Bile acid predominant irritable bowel syndrome (IBS-D) or functional diarrhea and 63.5% of cases of malabsorption; diarrhea following cholecystectomy. ⁷⁵SeHCAT is the gold standard diagnostic method but is Chronic diarrhea; unavailable in Mexico. Alternatively, primary bile acid (PBA) and total bile acid (TBA) determi-Irritable bowel nation in 48 h stools and 7α C4 measurement have been proposed as screening tests. syndrome with Objective: Our aim was to evaluate the experience with PBAs and/or TBAs and to determine diarrhea; whether 7α C4 is a good screening biomarker for BAM in clinical practice. Functional diarrhea; Material and methods: An ambispective study of patients with chronic diarrhea was conducted. 7αC4 BAM was considered present with 7α C4 > 55 ng/mL (cost \$420.00 USD), PBAs \geq 9.8%, TBAs > 2,337 µmol/48 h, or TBAs > 1,000 µmol/48 h + PBAs > 4% (TBAs + PBAs) (\$405.00 USD). However, those tests must be shipped to the US for their analysis (total cost \$825.00 USD). Data were compared using the chi-square test and Student's t test, and Spearman's Rho correlations were calculated.

E-mail address: mschmulson@gmail.com (M. Schmulson-Wasserman).

2255-534X/© 2025 Published by Masson Doyma México S.A. on behalf of Asociación Mexicana de Gastroenterología. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

^{*} See related content in DOI: 10.1016/j.rgmxen.2025.01.002, Remes-Troche J.M. Bile acid malabsorption (BAM): A rarely suspected cause of chronic diarrhea – Towards an efficient and conomic diagnosis. Rev Gastroenterol Mex. 2025;90:167–168.

^{*} Corresponding author. Laboratorio de Hígado, Páncreas y Motilidad (HIPAM), Unidad de Investigación en Medicina Experimental Dr. Ruy Pérez Tamayo, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM). Dr. Balmis #148, Col. Doctores, Mexico City, C.P. 06726, Mexico.

Results: We analyzed 48 patients with 7α C4 (age: 58.4 ± 16.9 , women: 54.2%). BAM was confirmed by 7α C4 in 12.5%, by PBAs in 38.9%; by TBAs in 5.5%, and by TBAs + PBAs in 16.7%. We found elevated 7α C4 in patients with high or normal PBA/TBA levels (correlation with TBAs: 0.542, p = 0.020; PBAs: -0.127, p = 0.605; TBAs + PBAs: -0.200, p = 0.426). Lastly, BAM identified by 7α C4 was more frequent in patients with previous cholecystectomy (22.7%) vs. those without (3.8%).

Conclusions: Our study confirms that 7α C4 correlates well with TBAs and is a good biomarker for BAM screening because it can be elevated, despite normal PBA/TBA levels. Additionally, it represents a 49% cost savings in BAM investigation.

© 2025 Published by Masson Doyma México S.A. on behalf of Asociación Mexicana de Gastroenterología. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Experiencia en la vida real con el diagnóstico de malabsorción de ácidos biliares (MAAB) utilizando 7-alfa-C4 sérico y ácidos biliares en heces de 48 horas

Resumen

Introducción: La malabsorción de ácidos biliares (MAAB) causa el 30% de los casos de síndrome de intestino irritable con diarrea (IBS-D) o diarrea funcional, y 63.5% de diarrea por colecistectomía. La prueba diagnóstica de referencia es ⁷⁵SeHCAT, no disponible localmente. Alternativamente se ha propuesto la determinación de ácidos biliares primarios (ABP) y totales (ABT) en heces de 48 horas, y 7 α C4 sérico como tamizaje.

Objetivo: Evaluar la experiencia con ABP y/o ABT, y determinar si 7α C4 es un buen biomarcador para MAAB en la clínica.

Materiales y métodos: Estudio ambispectivo de pacientes con diarrea crónica. Se consideró MAAB con 7α C4 > 55 ng/mL (costo \$420.00 US); ABP \geq 9.8%; ABT>2337 μ mol/48 hrs; o ABT>1000 μ mol/48 hrs + ABP > 4% (ABT + ABP) (\$405.00 US). Sin embargo, estas deben ser enviada a USA para su análisis (total \$825.00 US). Los datos se compararon mediante X², t-Student, y correlaciones mediante Rho de Spearman.

Resultados: Se analizaron 48 pacientes estudiados con 7 α C4 (edad: 58.4 \pm 16.9, mujeres: 54.2%). Se confirmó MAAB en 12.5% MAAB por 7 α C4, 38.9% por ABP, 5.5% por ABT, y 16.7% con ABP + ABT. Se encontró 7 α C4 elevado tanto en aquellos con ABP y/o ABT elevados o normales (correlación con ABT: 0.542, p = 0.020; ABP: -0.127, p = 0.605; ABT + ABP: -0.200; p = 0.426). Finalmente, la MAAB por 7 α C4 fue más frecuente en pacientes con (22.7%) vs. sin (3.8%) colecistectomía previa.

Conclusiones: Este estudio confirma que 7α C4 se correlaciona adecuadamente con ABT y es un buen biomarcador para tamizaje de MAAB, ya que puede estar elevado a pesar de ABP/ABT normales. Además, representa un ahorro del 49% en el costo del estudio de MAAB.

© 2025 Publicado por Masson Doyma México S.A. a nombre de Asociación Mexicana de Gastroenterología. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la CC BY-NC-ND licencia (http://creativecommons.org/licencias/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

The 7α -hydroxy-4-cholesten-3-one (also known as 7α -hydroxycholesterol or 7α C4) is a steroidal intermediate in the synthesis of bile acids (BAs) from cholesterol occurring in the liver, by the rate-limiting enzyme, 7α -hydroxylase.¹ 7α C4 is then further metabolized to form the primary BAs (PBAs), chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA) and cholic acid (CA).² These primary BAs are subsequently conjugated with taurine or glycine to increase their solubility and facilitate their secretion into the bile. They are then stored in the gallbladder to be delivered into the duodenum to emulsify fats and fat-soluble vitamins, as they are essential for their digestion and absorption.³ Around 95% of the BAs are reabsorbed mainly in the terminal ileum and then bind to the

nuclear farnesoid X receptor (FXR), promoting the synthesis and release of fibroblast growth factor 19 (FGF-19). FGF-19 enters the enterohepatic circulation, leading to the inhibition of the rate-limiting enzyme, 7α -hydroxylase, thereby decreasing the hepatic synthesis of BA.⁴ The remaining 5% of the BAs that are not absorbed in the ileum reach the colon, where they are deconjugated by bacterial bile salt hydrolases and 7α -hydroxylating bacteria to produce secondary BAs, which stimulate fluid secretion and increase mucosal permeability and colonic motility, as a result of several mechanisms.⁵⁻⁷ Therefore, an excess of BAs in the colon can induce diarrhea, increased inflammation, and microbiome disruption.⁸⁻¹⁰

The estimated prevalence of bile acid malabsorption (BAM) in Western countries is around 1%.¹¹ Specifically,

PALABRAS CLAVE Malabsorción de ácidos biliares; Diarrea crónica; Síndrome de intestino irritable con diarrea; Diarrea funcional; 7αC4 approximately 30% of the cases of diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome (IBS-D) and/or functional diarrhea, and up to 63.5% of cases of diarrhea associated with previous cholecystectomy, are caused by BAM.¹²⁻¹⁶ This condition may arise from different pathophysiologic processes, thereby BAM can be classified into four types: type 1 is the result of ileal disease (e.g., Crohn's disease); type 2 is idiopathic and can be present in patients with IBS-D or functional diarrhea, since most of them have no defect in bile acid absorption or clear gastrointestinal disease; type 3 is due to secondary malabsorption not associated with ileal dysfunction (e.g., chronic pancreatitis or cholecystectomy); type 4 is the result of increased BA synthesis without a clear sign of impaired BA reabsorption, most cases of which are induced by hypertriglyceridemia or metformin.^{17–19}

The 75 selenium homotaurocholic acid test (⁷⁵SeHCAT) is the gold standard for diagnosing BAM because it has the highest sensitivity and specificity.^{20–22} It is a nuclear medicine test with very limited availability in Latin America, and even in the rest of the world, except at very select academic centers.^{11,17,23} Determining the PBAs and/or total BAs (TBAs), combined with fasting serum 7 α C4, has been proposed as an alternative method.^{12,15,24}

Unfortunately, these tests are not readily available in Mexico. In addition, the samples must be shipped to the United States (US) for processing, the 48-h stool collection is uncomfortable for patients, and it is expensive (48 h stool BA: \$405.00 USD and serum 7α C4: \$420.00 USD, for a total of \$825.00 USD). We have also observed discrepancies in the diagnoses of BAM, according to the 48-h stool BA and 7α C4. Due to these limitations, our aim was to evaluate the experience with the use of these biomarkers for BAM, and to determine whether 7α C4 by itself is a good screening marker in clinical practice in Mexico.

Material and methods

We conducted an ambispective study of patients with chronic diarrhea or abdominal bloating/distension who consulted a specialized clinic for gastrointestinal motility and disorders of gut-brain interaction (DGBI) in Mexico City and were suspected of having BAM. The patients' medical records were reviewed and separated into those who were given 7 α C4 in serum after 8 hours of fasting (Prometheus Laboratories, San Diego, CA) and/or BAs in 48-h stools (Mayo Clinic Laboratories, Rochester, MIN). The samples were retrieved at a local laboratory that shipped the samples to the US for analysis. Accordingly, BAM was considered when 7 α C4 was > 55 ng/ml, PBAs \geq 9.8%, TBAs > 2,337 μ mol/48 hours, or TBAs > 1,000 μ mol/48 hours + PBAs). TBAs + PBAs was derived from the individual measurements of TBAs and PBAs.

Statistical analysis

The data were obtained by retrospectively reviewing the records of outpatients that were previously studied at the clinic for BAM diarrhea using serum 7α C4. Data were expressed in percentages, means, medians, and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI), and were compared using the chi-square test and Student's t test when appropriate. Cor-

relations between $7\alpha C4$ and PBAs or TBAs in 48-h stools were calculated using Spearman's Rho. A p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical considerations

Informed consent was not needed because the study was based on a retrospective analysis of patients that were previously studied at the clinic, and no experimental intervention was performed, making Ethics Committee approval unnecessary. Finally, no personal data or images that could identify any patient or subject were used, and so patient consent was not required.

Results

Patients

The records of 48 consecutive patients that underwent 7α C4 testing were reviewed. There was a slight predominance of women, compared with men, but men were older than women (Table 1). Twenty-one of the patients (women: 7) were diagnosed with IBS-D, functional diarrhea, or other DGBIs with concomitant diarrhea, whereas 29 patients (women: 19) had other diagnoses, such as celiac disease or small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO). In addition, 22 (45.8%) patients had previously been cholecystectomized (Table 1).

Bile acid malabsorption (BAM)

In addition to 7α C4, 19 patients had been simultaneously tested for PBAs and 18 for TBAs using 48-h stool collection. Eleven patients (22.9%) were positive for BAM, based on any of the three methodologies; they included 7 women (age: 54.7 ± 19.7 years) and 4 men (age: 74.0 ± 3.9 years). The frequency of BAM, based on the three specific methodologies that were investigated, are depicted in Fig. 1. BAM was confirmed by 7α C4 in 6 of the 48 patients (12.5%), but the highest prevalence was found with fecal PBAs, in 7 out of 18 patients (38.9%).

Correlations between 7α C4 and 48-h stool BAs

Of the 6 patients with high levels of 7α C4, 5 were also evaluated for PBAs and/or TBAs, finding that high 7α C4 was found, not only in the 2 patients with elevated PBA and/or TBA levels, but also in the 3 patients with normal PBA or TBA levels. Accordingly, 7α C4 showed a moderate correlation with TBAs: 0.542 (p = 0.020), and a negative correlation with PBAs: -0.127 (p = 0.605) and TBAs + PBAs: -0.200 (p = 0.426). In addition, measuring only 7α C4, rather than 7α C4 and 48-h stool collection for BAs, resulted in a 49% savings, with respect to those clinical tests.

BAM in patients with cholecystectomy and diarrhea of functional origin

The frequency of BAM detected by 7α C4 was higher in patients with previous cholecystectomy: 5 of the 22 patients

	lucional and the second second	7 64 (* 49)	Duraniana al 1			
	Investigated with $7\alpha C4$ (n = 48)		Previous cholecy	Previous cholecystectomy (n = 22)		
	Frequency (%)	Age in years, mean (95% Cl) Frequency (%)	Age in years, mean (95% CI)		
Women Men	54.2 45.8	2 55.5 (48.2-62.9) 8 62.7 (56.1-69.3)		61.3 (51.1-71.6) 70.7 (60.0-75.3)		
_						
)						
)						
5						
)		_				
5		_				
0						
		38.9		_		
5						
)				16.7		
;	12.5					
			5.5			
	7αC4	PBAs	TBAs	TBAs +PBAs		

Figure 1 Frequency (%) of BAM, according to the methodology used. BAM: bile acid malabsorption; 7α C4: 7α -hydroxy-4-cholesten-3-one (green); PBAs: primary bile acids (grey); TBAs: total bile acids (blue); TBAs + PBAs: total bile acids + primary bile acids (red).

 Table 2
 Comorbidities that can produce chronic diarrhea in patients with BAM.

Comorbidity	n	Sex (M or W): age (years)	Cholecystectomy
CID O	2	M: 69	Yes
SIBO	2	W: 65	Yes
Tropical sprue	1	M: 75	Yes
Type 2diabetes	1	M: 59	Yes
Celiac disease	1	M: 76	No

M: man; SIBO: small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (clinical suspicion based on the presence of duodenal diverticulum); W: woman.

(22.7%), compared with those without it: only one of the 26 patients (3.8%), p = 0.049. In addition, of the 21 patients with IBS-D, functional diarrhea, or other DGBIs with concomitant diarrhea, only one had BAM, according to the tests analyzed, for a prevalence of 4.8% of bile acid diarrhea (BAD) and said patient with BAM, a 78-year-old man, also had a history of cholecystectomy. Furthermore, 5 of the 6 patients with BAM detected by high 7α C4 levels had other comobidities, and 4 of them also had previous cholecystectomy (Table 2).

Discussion

The present study conducted at a specialized clinic for gastrointestinal motility and DGBIs in Mexico City confirmed that 7α C4 moderately correlated with TBAs and could be elevated despite normal PBAs and/or TBAs, thus making it a useful biomarker for BAM screening. In addition, using only a 7α C4 test, instead of the combination of 7α C4 and 48-h fecal BAs, signified an important savings of 49%, regarding the expenses involved in investigating BAM. Our data on the usefulness of serum 7α C4 in clinical practice are supported by several studies. When comparing BAM screening using serum biomarkers and 48-h fecal BAs, both serum 7α C4 and FGF-19 exhibited high negative predictive values and specificity, at 79%/83% and 78%/78%, respectively.²⁴ As reported by some authors, the clinical effectiveness of the serum 7α C4 test showed that it could detect BAM with 90% sensitivity, 79% specificity, a negative predictive value of 98%, and a positive predictive value of 74%, when compared with the ⁷⁵SeHCAT test, particularly in cases where the half-life remaining in the body was ≤ 1.2 days.²⁵ The significant high negative predictive screening tool for excluding BAM. This is particularly important, when ruling out BAM in patients with functional diarrhea or IBS-D.

In a meta-analysis, Valentin et al.¹² reported a considerable diagnostic yield for serum 7α C4 of 0.171% (95% CI: 0.134-0.217), compared with the gold standard ⁷⁵SeHCAT test of 0.308% (95% CI: 0.247-0.377). Another systematic review conducted by Lyutakov et al.²⁰ identified 87.32% sensitivity and 93.2% specificity for the ⁷⁵SeHCAT test, when diagnosing BAM. However, this was followed by 85.2% sensitivity and 71.1% specificity for serum 7α C4 and 66.6% sensitivity and 79.3% specificity for total fecal BAs.

In contrast to the ⁷⁵SeHCAT test, which demands multiple visits and specialized nuclear medicine equipment, serum 7α C4 is a straightforward blood test that only requires a standardized sample collection time.²⁵ It involves no radiation and when assessed against potential covariates, 7α C4 was found to be non-dependent on age, sex, or serum cholesterol.²⁵ A drawback of 7α C4 testing is that a specific technique (Tandem Mass Spectrometry) and specialized personnel are required for its guantification. Other disadvantages are that false positive or false negative 7α C4 results may occur in patients with liver disease, in patients taking medications that alter BA production, such as statins, or in individuals with an altered circadian rhythm, given that serum 7α C4 has diurnal variations.²⁶ Additionally, the test's usefulness is still relatively limited, and it is not known whether age, emotional states, or environmental variables. such as work shift or jetlag, may alter the circadian rhythm, and consequently, BA synthesis. ^2 Although serum $7\alpha C4$ is unlikely to replace ⁷⁵SeHCAT as the gold standard, it can be employed as an initial and useful biomarker to rule out the presence of BAM in patients with chronic diarrhea or bloating and/or distension that are presumed to be related to BAM. This can ultimately improve both the diagnostic and therapeutic aspects of patient care, especially considering the limitations of ⁷⁵SeHCAT test availability and the fact that it is time-consuming, expensive, and involves radiation exposure.²⁷ Furthermore, measuring fecal BA excretion through PBA and/or TBA levels can be cumbersome because patients are non-compliant with those kinds of tests, as well as difficult for many conventional laboratories to process.²⁸ More recently, to simplify the 48-h stool collection, a single stool BA measurement in BAD and IBS-D has been proposed. The percentage of PBAs in a single stool sample, demonstrated an odds ratio of 3.06 (95% CI: 1.35-7.46) for distinguishing BAD from IBS-D (p = 0.01), whereas the numerical difference in fecal TBA concentration was borderline. In addition, there was a significant correlation of serum 7α C4 with the percentage of primary BAs (Spearman Rho: 0.284,

p < 0.001) and a trend toward correlation with total BA concentration (Spearman Rho: 0.132, p = 0.095) in a single stool. With those results, the authors suggested that measurement of the percentage of PBAs could be a useful adjunct to serum measurements and should be tested in a combined model for the diagnosis of BAD.²⁹ Even though the proposed combination of serum 7α C4 with a single stool sample for BA measurements may eliminate the inconveniences involved in 48-h stool collection, it is still an expensive test for patients.

We found only one case of BAM (BAD) in the 21 patients with IBS-D or functional diarrhea, possibly due to a lack of clinical suspicion of BAM. In fact, this is in accordance with the abovementioned study by Lupianez-Merly et al.,²⁹ which now differentiates BAD from IBS-D. Moreover, Wong et al.³⁰ demonstrated that serum 7 α C4 also correlated with TBA concentration in stools and stool weight, supporting our findings of the moderate correlation between 7 α C4 and TBA levels.

The fact that we observed BAM in two patients with SIBO, one with tropical sprue, and both with diabetes mellitus, is an indication that BAM can coexist with other causes of chronic diarrhea or may be related to their pathophysiology. For example, gut bacteria, mainly *Clostridiales*, convert primary BAs to secondary BAs, whereas BAs can directly or indirectly shape the gut microbiota through antimicrobial effects and play an important role in the innate immune response. In fact, unconjugated serum BA levels have also been found in patients with SIBO.³¹

In type 2 diabetes mellitus, high serum levels of 7α C4 and BAM have also been reported, especially among patients with diabetic neuropathy.³²⁻³³ Furthermore, in patients with type 2 diabetes, metformin has been related to BAM. In patients taking metformin, the therapeutic inhibition of the apical sodium-dependent bile acid transporter (ASBT) in the terminal ileum reduces glucose levels but also reduces the circulation of BAs, consequently elevating 7α C4 levels.³⁴ Therefore, BAM can contribute to diabetic diarrhea. Notwithstanding, continuous research on BAM in the context of functional diarrhea and/or IBS-D is required, as BAD may constitute an independent entity with a specific treatment.³⁵

The current study has certain limitations. First, it was conducted on a small group of patients but corresponds to all of the patients that underwent tests for studying BAM, at a clinic in Mexico. Second, the small number of patients may explain why we identified only one case of BAD in those with functional diarrhea. However, the majority of patients with BAM had also been previously cholecystectomized, which could explain the presence of BAD. Third, not all patients were investigated with both 7α C4 and 48-h stools for BAs. This was related to the previously mentioned fact that the 48-h stool collection involves a logistical limitation and an economic burden for patients. Fourth, our study patients came from a private clinical setting and could afford the tests out-of-pocket or had insurance reimbursement. An alternative to this expensive testing, is a therapeutic trial with cholestyramine, the only treatment for BAD that is available in Mexico. Nevertheless, cholestyramine is not well-tolerated by many patients and at times becomes scarce in the Mexican market. However, the concordance of our results with those from Wong et al.³⁰ and

Lupianez-Merly²⁹ supports 7α C4 testing, and implementing the technique for its quantification in local clinical laboratories would further decrease the diagnostic cost of BAD.

In conclusion, we found serum 7α C4 to be a reliable test for BAM screening. Even though it is still an expensive test and is not yet available in Mexico, sending the samples abroad for their quantification results represents an average savings of 49%, in comparison to its combination with 48-h stool testing for BAs. The data obtained from this study suggest that 7α C4 should only be requested when patients presenting with chronic diarrhea compatible with functional diarrhea do not respond to the usual treatments, a setting in which ruling out BAD is important for therapeutic purposes.

Financial disclosure

MSW is funded by the Research Division of the Faculty of Medicine, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM).

Conflict of interest

GMD, ZMGS, and ADS: nothing to declare.

CL and RB: worked at *Biomédica de Referencia*, the Clinical Lab that collected the samples to be shipped to the US for analysis.

MSW: is on the Advisory Council of Daewoong South Korea, Gemelli Biotech Inc, Moksha 8 Mexico, and Pro.Med.CS. Praha A.S.; he is a speaker for Alfa Sigma Mexico, Armstrong Mexico, Carnot, Daewoong South Korea, Ferrer Mexico/Central America, Medix Mexico, Megalabs Ecuador, Tecnofarma Colombia/Bolivia; he provides educational materials for Moksha 8.

References

- 1. Chiang JYL. Bile acid metabolism and signaling in liver disease and therapy. Liver Res. 2017;1:3-9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.livres.2017.05.001.
- Camilleri M, Vijayvargiya P. The role of bile acids in chronic diarrhea. Am J Gastroenterol. 2020;115:1596-603, http://dx.doi.org/10.14309/ajg.000000000000696.
- 3. Bunnett NW. Neuro-humoral signalling by bile acids and the TGR5 receptor in the gastrointestinal 2014;592:2943-50, tract. Physiol. J http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2014.271155.
- Camilleri M. Physiological underpinnings of irritable bowel syndrome: neurohormonal mechanisms. J Physiol. 2014;592:2967–80, http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/ jphysiol.2014.270892.
- Mekhjian HS, Phillips SF, Hofmann AF. Colonic absorption of unconjugated bile acids: perfusion studies in man. Dig Dis Sci. 1979;24:545–50, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01489324.
- Kirwan WO, Smith AN, Mitchell WD, et al. Bile acids and colonic motility in the rabbit and the human. Gut. 1975;16:894–902, http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gut.16.11.894.
- Wingate DL, Krag E, Mekhjian HS, et al. Relationships between lon and Water movement in the human jejunum, ileum and colon during perfusion with bile acids. Clin Sci Mol Med. 1973;45:593-606, http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/CS0450593.
- 8. Dior M, Delagrèverie H, Duboc H, et al. Interplay between bile acid metabolism and microbiota in irritable bowel

syndrome. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2016;28:1330-40, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/nmo.12829.

- Bampton PA, Dinning PG, Kennedy ML, et al. The proximal colonic motor response to rectal mechanical and chemical stimulation. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2002;282:443-9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.00194.2001.
- Camilleri M, Shin A, Busciglio I, et al. Genetic variation in GPBAR1 predisposes to quantitative changes in colonic transit and bile acid excretion. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2014;307:508-16, http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.00178.2014.
- Walters JRF, Pattni SS. Managing bile acid diarrhoea. Therap Adv Gastroenterol. 2010;3:349–57, http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1756283X10377126.
- Valentin N, Camilleri M, Altayar O, et al. Biomarkers for bile acid diarrhoea in functional bowel disorder with diarrhoea: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gut. 2016;65:1951–9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309889.
- Slattery SA, Niaz O, Aziz Q, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis: the prevalence of bile acid malabsorption in the irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhoea. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2015;42:3–11, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/apt.13227.
- Ruiz-Campos L, Gisbert JP, Ysamat M, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis: the prevalence of bile acid malabsorption and response to colestyramine in patients with chronic watery diarrhoea and previous cholecystectomy. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2019;49:242–50, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/apt.15099.
- Liu T, Ma M, Li K, et al. Biomarkers for bile acid malabsorption in diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2023;57:451–8, http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MCG.00000000001841.
- Farahmandfar MR, Chabok M, Alade M, et al. Post cholecystectomy diarrhoea—a systematic review. Surg Sci. 2012;03:332–8, http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ss.2012.36065.
- Tawil J, Vaca F, Schmulson MJ. Rol de los ácidos biliares en la diarrea crónica funcional y en el síndrome de intestino irritable con diarrea. Una revisión de la literatura. NeuroGastroLatam Rev. 2021;5:27–46, http://dx.doi.org/10.24875/ngl.21000003.
- Caspary WF, Zavada I, Reimold W, et al. Alteration of bile acid metabolism and vitamin-B12-absorption in diabetics on biguanides. Diabetologia. 1977;13:187–93, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01219698.
- Scarpello JHB, Hodgson E, Howlett HC. Effect of metformin on bile salt circulation and intestinal motility in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabet Med. 1998;15:651–6, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9136(199808)15: 8<651::AID-DIA628>3.0.CO;2-A.
- Lyutakov I, Ursini F, Penchev P, et al. Methods for diagnosing bile acid malabsorption: a systematic review. BMC Gastroenterol. 2019;19:1–11, http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12876-019-1102-1.
- 21. Wedlake L, A'Hern R, Russell D, et al. Systematic review: the prevalence of idiopathic bile acid diagnosed by SeHCAT scanning in malabsorption as with diarrhoea-predominant irritable bowel patients Pharmacol syndrome. Aliment Ther. 2009;30:707-17, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2036.2009.04081.x.
- 22. Sciarretta G, Vicini G, Fagioli G, et al. Use of 23-selena-25-homocholyltaurine to detect bile patients with acid malabsorption in ileal dysfuncdiarrhea. Gastroenterology. 1986;91:1-9, tion or http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0016-5085(86)90431-2.
- 23. Walters JRF, Tasleem AM, Omer OS, et al. A new mechanism for bile acid diarrhea: defective feedback inhibition of bile acid biosynthesis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2009;7:1189–94, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2009.04.024.
- 24. Vijayvargiya P, Camilleri M, Carlson P, et al. Performance characteristics of serum C4 and FGF19 measurements to exclude the diagnosis of bile acid diarrhoea in IBS-diarrhoea and

functional diarrhoea. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2017;46:581-8, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/apt.14214.

- 25. Vijayvargiya P, Camilleri M, Shin A, et al. Methods for diagnosis of bile acid malabsorption in clinical practice. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2013;11:1232-9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2013.04.029.
- 26. Camilleri M, Nadeau A, Tremaine WJ, et al. Measurement of serum 7alpha-hydroxy-4-cholesten-3-one (or 7alphaC4), a surrogate test for bile acid malabsorption in health, ileal disease and irritable bowel syndrome using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2009;21:734–43, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2982.2009.01288.x.
- 27. Fani B, Bertani L, Paglianiti I, et al. Pros and cons of the SeHCAT test in bile acid diarrhea: A more appropriate use of an old nuclear medicine technique. Gastroenterol Res Pract. 2018;2018:2097359, http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2018/2097359.
- Hughes LE, Ford C, Brookes MJ, et al. Bile acid diarrhoea: Current and potential methods of diagnosis. Ann Clin Biochem. 2021;58:22–8, http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0004563220966139.
- **29.** Lupianez-Merly C, Dilmaghani S, Busciglio I, et al. Single stool bile acid measurements in bile acid diarrhea and irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhea: Relationship to serum measurements of C4 and FGF-19. Gastroenterology. 2024;166:S-1371.

- Wong BS, Camilleri M, Carlson P, et al. Increased bile acid biosynthesis is associated with irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhea. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2012;10:1009–15.e3, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2012.05.006.
- Min YW, Rezaie A, Pimentel M. Bile acid and gut microbiota in irritable bowel syndrome. J Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2022;28:549-61, http://dx.doi.org/10.5056/jnm22129.
- Bouchoucha M, Uzzan B, Cohen R. Metformin and digestive disorders. Diabetes Metab. 2011;37:90-6, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabet.2010.11.002.
- Nakamura T, Imamura K, Kasai F, et al. Fecal excretions of hydroxy fatty acid and bile acid in diabetic diarrheal patients. J Diabetes Complications. 1993;7:8–11, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/1056-8727(93)90017-s.
- 34. Nunez DJ, Yao X, Lin J, et al. Glucose and lipid effects of the ileal apical sodium-dependent bile acid transporter inhibitor GSK2330672: double-blind randomized trials with type 2 diabetes subjects taking metformin. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2016;18:654–62, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/dom.12656.
- 35. Flores V, Martínez-Lozano H, Bighelli F, et al. Prevalence of biliary acid malabsorption in patients with chronic diarrhoea of functional characteristics: a prospective study. BMC Gastroenterol. 2021;21:56, http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12876-021-01637-4.